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Abstract

Background: The relationship between dentists and dental supply representatives is not as well known as that between physicians and pharmaceutical sales representatives.

Aims: To estimate the magnitude, associated factors and characteristics of the interaction between dentists and dental supply representatives in Saudi Arabia.

Methods: A cross-sectional survey was conducted among dentists working in major governmental and private hospitals in different regions of Saudi Arabia. A self-administered questionnaire was distributed to all participants, either in electronic or paper format, depending on the proximity of the participants. A total of 672 participants completed the survey (response rate, 67.2%).

Results: Approximately 68% of participants reported an interaction with dental supply representatives. Saudi dentists had a lower interaction with dental supply representatives than non-Saudi dentists (65.1% vs 73.1%). Dentists working in private hospitals had more interactions with dental supply representatives than those working in public hospitals (78.1% vs 63.2%). Compared to residents and interns, dental consultants and specialists had more interactions with dental supply representatives. Dentists who had a prior history of working abroad showed more interactions with dental supply representatives than those with no such history (75.9% vs 63.7%). Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that the following characteristics were independently associated with greater dentist–dental supply representatives interaction: male sex, older age, living in the eastern region, unsure about income satisfaction, certain job titles (such as specialists), and certain specialties.
**Conclusion**: Dentists have a high number of interactions with dental supply representatives in Saudi Arabia. Most of the issues identified are common to those seen in other parts of the world.

Keywords: dentists, dental supply representatives, gifts, dental practice, Saudi Arabia


Received: 13/09/17, Accepted: 13/03/18

Copyright © World Health Organization (WHO) 2020. Open Access. Some rights reserved. This work is available under the CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo).

**Introduction**

There is an environment of mutual trust between dentists and their patients that forces dentists to provide a good service while adhering to high ethical norms (1). Drawing parallels between the dental product and pharmaceutical industries, the World Health Organization has disclosed that the global pharmaceuticals market is worth US$300 billion a year with a soaring profit margin of about 30% (2). These companies currently spend one third of their sales revenue on marketing their products; roughly twice what they spend on research and development (2).

Previous studies reported a significant relationship between physicians and the pharmaceutical industry (3–5). There is ample evidence that these relationships significantly affect physicians' decision-making in their clinical practice and research (6–8). There is a high level of interaction between physicians and pharmaceutical sales representatives in many countries in spite of having restrictive guidelines (9–11) and evidence of adverse effects on physicians' behaviour (3–5). Similarly, a recent study reported a high level of interaction of physicians with
pharmaceutical sales representatives in Saudi Arabia (12). Other studies indicated that many physicians in Saudi Arabia often accept gifts from pharmaceutical companies (13), and promotion of pharmaceutical products by physicians is common in Japan (14).

It is clear from the literature that the issue of dental specialists having an unethical relationship with dental supply representatives is not as well known as that of medical specialists with pharmaceutical sales representatives (15,16). With an extensive variety of present day dental products, dental supply companies have begun to develop new procedures to entice dental practitioners to purchase their items and thereby increase their net revenue. Eventually, this has opened the door for advertising practices similar to those in the pharmaceutical industry (17,18).

Some studies have assessed the relationship between physicians and pharmaceutical sales representatives in Saudi Arabia (12,13); however, no such studies have been conducted among dentists in Saudi Arabia. Other studies have reported the negative effects of these relationships on patient treatment and care (5,19). Therefore, the present study aimed to determine the magnitude, risk factors and characteristics of dentist–dental supply representative interactions in Saudi Arabia.

**Methods**

The present study was conducted among dentists working in major governmental (public) and private hospitals in Central, Eastern, Western, Northern and Southern Saudi Arabia. A total of 63 public and 50 private hospitals were identified and 25 public and 20 private hospitals were included in the study by simple random sampling. All ranks of dentists, including general and specialists, participated. The dental supply representatives were defined as sales representatives of companies that supply dental equipment and materials to dentists, and who visit dentists to provide information about their products.

A self-administrated questionnaire that comprised 50 items arranged in two sections in the English language was developed and distributed to all participants. The first section included questions related to sociodemographic factors, such as age, sex, nationality, monthly income, income satisfaction, hospital setting, and occupational characteristics, such as job rank, specialty, and working duration. The second section included questions related to the interaction with dental supply representatives, gift acceptance and opinion of dentists regarding gift acceptance in dental practice. The scientific content of the questionnaire was validated by a multidisciplinary committee, including specialists in psychiatry, ethics, dentistry and epidemiology. The questionnaire was pilot tested on a small number of participants. The required changes in the questionnaire were made based on feedback from the pilot data. The original version of this questionnaire targeting physicians’ attitudes towards interaction with the
pharmaceutical industry was developed and validated by the first author and reported previously (12). The questionnaires were distributed through email with 2 or 3 reminders at an interval of 1 week to dentists in all 5 regions of Saudi Arabia.

Informed consent was obtained from all the participants after explaining the objectives of the study. The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the College of Dentistry at King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

The sample size was calculated using OpenEpi version 2.2 (Copyright 2003, 2007 Andrew G. Dean and Kevin M. Sullivan, Atlanta, GA, USA). It was indicated that ≥ 600 participants were needed to detect a 20% difference in the given characteristics between the two study groups (400 dentists from public hospitals and 200 from private hospitals), with 95% confidence level and 0.8 power. The total number of participants was adjusted to allow 10% of possible missing data.

Data were presented as the mean and standard deviation (SD) for continuous data and frequencies and percentages for categorical data. The prevalence of interaction was reported as the percentage of dentists that interacted with the dental supply representatives. Sociodemographic, occupational and economic factors were compared between dentists who had an interaction with dental supply representatives and those who did not. Significant differences between the 2 groups were assessed using the χ² test for categorical data and Student’s t test for continuous data. Characteristics that were significantly associated with dentist–dental supply representative interaction in univariate analysis were entered into a multiple logistic regression model to define independent relationships. Variables with P

**Results**

A total of 1000 questionnaires was distributed. A total of 672 participants completed the questionnaire (response rate, 67.2%). *Table 1* details the sociodemographic characteristics of the participants. Approximately 56% participants were male and the average age was 35.7 (9.4) years. Table 2 details the occupational characteristics of the study participants. Almost 70% of the participants were working in public (i.e. governmental) hospitals. The average working experience of the participant was 10.6 (9.4) years.

Approximately 68% (n = 454) participants reported an interaction with dental supply representatives. As shown in Tables 1 and 2, the frequency of interaction with dental supply representatives was significantly higher for male dentists, older age, non-Saudi nationals, living
in eastern region, unsure income satisfaction, studying abroad, having ethical education, lack of knowledge about rules and policies regulating the dentist–industry relationships, working in private hospitals, certain job titles, working duration 10–19 years, working abroad, orthodontic specialty and less-common specialties (such as implantologist), and treating patients of high socioeconomic class. In multivariate logistic regression analysis, the following characteristics were independently associated with more dentist–dental supply representative interaction; male sex, older age, living in eastern region, unsure income satisfaction, certain job titles (such as specialists), and certain specialties (Table 3).

Table 4 details the characteristics of the dentist–dental supply representative interactions. The majority of interactions (n = 327, 74.8%) occurred at a rate of once or less in a month. The dental clinic was the commonest (n = 169, 39.0%) place of interaction, followed by conference or symposium (n = 168, 38.8%), office (n = 66, 15.2%) or other places (n = 30, 6.9%). Approximately 84% (n = 354) of dental supply representatives offered gifts and the majority of dentists (n = 197, 56%) often or almost always accepted these gifts. The most common gifts offered were free instruments samples (n = 162, 55.6%), followed by sponsorship for attending educational training (n = 57, 19.3%) and stationery items such as pens and notepads (n = 32, 10.8%).

**Discussion**

To the best of our knowledge, the current study is the first to investigate the magnitude, associated factors and characteristics of the interaction between dentists and dental supply representatives in Saudi Arabia. The results showed that two thirds of the participants had interaction with dental supply representatives on a regular basis that was comparable to that reported among physicians in Saudi Arabia (72.9%) and other parts of the world (12). In addition, the current study suggested that dentist–dental supply representative interaction varied according to dentists’ personal and professional characteristics and their practice setting. For example, orthodontists and specialists were more likely to interact with the dental supply representatives compared to paediatric dentists. Previous studies reported approximately 90% prevalence of physician–pharmaceutical sales representative interaction in surveys of multi-specialty cohorts (20,21) and single-specialty cohorts such as ophthalmology trainees (22) and psychiatrists (23). Similarly, other studies reported a high prevalence of physician–PSR interaction in Libya (24) and Japan (14). However, in the current study, most of the dentist–dental supply representative interactions occurred at a rate of once or less per month, which is lower than reported for physician–PSR interaction. One review states that 80–90% of physicians in the United States of America, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Canada, and New Zealand meet pharmaceutical sales representatives twice a month on average (7). Another study reported higher rates of physician–pharmaceutical sales representative interactions ranging from 5 to 10 times per month based on specialty (14).
Most of the dentist–dental supply representative interactions take place at the dental clinic or in the office during clinical hours or later, which is indicative of a tolerant work environment. The fact that most patients belong to middle or lower economic strata means that social responsibility should go hand-in-hand with professional obligation (25,26). Therefore, mutual trust between dentists and patients is important and it should be nurtured at all levels of treatment. When patient rights and interests are protected, dentists also become protected because most of the existing laws fulfil the ethical obligations of dentists to safeguard the patients’ best interests as primary (27–29).

Acceptance of gifts by dentists in the current study was lower than that reported previously. In the study of Alosaimi et al. (13), approximately 80% of the physicians had accepted some type of pharmaceutical gift. Similarly, other studies reported a high rate of acceptance of pharmaceutical gifts from the pharmaceutical sales representatives (14,21,30,31). The commonest reason for accepting gifts stated by dentists was that these gifts helped them to remember their products. One of the previous studies reported that physicians considered that small gifts were not ethically wrong (14). In the current study, the most common gifts offered were free instruments samples, followed by sponsorship for attending educational training, and stationery items such as pens and notepads. In a previous study, smaller gifts such as trinkets, meals and books were more commonly given to physicians; however, costly gifts such as air travel and hotel accommodation were given selectively (32).

Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed various characteristics of the dentists that influenced their interactions with dental supply representatives. Male dentists had more interaction with dental supply representatives than female dentists had. In contrast, a previous study reported a nonsignificant effect of sex on physician–pharmaceutical sales representative interaction (12). However, a direct comparison could not be made as the previous study was of physicians rather than dentists. The sex difference could be explained by the fact that more male dentists worked in private hospitals, where they were more likely to interact with dental supply representatives. In the current study, dentists working in Eastern Saudi Arabia had greater interaction with dental supply representatives than dentists in other regions had. Similarly, a previous study reported greater interactions between physicians and pharmaceutical sales representatives working in the eastern region (12). In the current study, clinical job rank of the dentists was associated with the interactions between dentists and dental supply representatives. For instance, specialists and assistant professors had greater interaction with dental supply representatives compared to others. Similarly, a previous study reported a greater interaction between medical specialists and dental supply representatives (12). In the present study, some of the specialties, such as paediatric dentistry, orthodontics, restorative dentistry,
and endodontics, had more interaction with dental supply representatives than others had. Similarly, a previous study reported greater interaction between some medical specialties and pharmaceutical sales representatives in Saudi Arabia (12).

Owing to lack of ethical education in the dental curriculum, there is a possibility that dental professionals may not be aware of the existing rules and policies in Saudi Arabia that regulate dentist–industry relationships, as in many other countries (11,20,33). Therefore, further research that focuses on ethical, clinical, prescription and economic impacts of dentistry is recommended.

The present study had some limitations. Being a convenience sample, the outcomes ought to be interpreted with caution and not viewed as representative of dental specialists working in Saudi Arabia. Furthermore, it was a self-reported study; therefore, the likelihood of underestimation, because of social desirability bias, could not be avoided, particularly as the association may have included conflicts of interest. Further studies are warranted to assess the impact of dentist–dental supply representative interaction on patients’ treatment and care and the overall quality of dental practice in Saudi Arabia.

**Conclusion**

The rate of interaction of dentists with dental supply representatives in Saudi Arabia was high, as in other countries where similar studies have been conducted. Orthodontists interacted more often with DSRs than other dentists did. Most dentists interacted with DSRs at a rate of once or less per month. A large number of dentists occasionally accepted small gifts such as free instrument samples and stationary items. Further investigations are required to explore the ethical, clinical and economic impact of dentist–DSR interaction.
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**Ampleur et déterminants des interactions entre dentistes et représentants dentaires en Arabie saoudite**

**Résumé**
Contexte : Les liens entre les dentistes et les représentants dentaires sont moins bien connus que ceux que l'on observe entre les médecins et les représentants commerciaux des sociétés pharmaceutiques.

Objectifs : Évaluer l'ampleur, les facteurs associés et les caractéristiques des interactions entre les dentistes et les représentants dentaires en Arabie saoudite.

Méthodes : Une étude transversale a été menée auprès des dentistes travaillant dans les grands hôpitaux publics et privés de différentes régions d'Arabie saoudite. Un auto-questionnaire a été distribué à l'ensemble des participants, sous format électronique ou papier, en fonction de la proximité de ces derniers. Au total, 672 participants ont répondu à ce questionnaire (taux de réponse de 67,2 %).

Résultats : Près de 68 % des participants ont déclaré avoir des interactions avec les représentants dentaires. Les dentistes saoudiens faisaient état d'un niveau d'interactions moindre avec ces représentants que leurs confrères non saoudiens (65,1 % contre 73,1 %). Les dentistes travaillant dans des hôpitaux privés avaient plus d'interactions avec les représentants dentaires que leurs confrères en poste dans les hôpitaux publics (78,1 % contre 63,2 %). Les dentistes consultants et les spécialistes faisaient état de davantage d'interactions avec les représentants dentaires que les dentistes résidents et internes. Les dentistes qui, au cours de leur carrière, avaient travaillé à l'étranger avaient plus d'interactions avec les représentants dentaires que leurs confrères n'ayant pas eu ce parcours professionnel (75,9 % contre 63,7 %). L’analyse de régression logistique multivariée a montré que les caractéristiques suivantes étaient associées de manière indépendante à de plus grandes interactions entre les dentistes et les représentants dentaires : sexe masculin, âge plus avancé, résidant dans la Région orientale, incertitude quant à la satisfaction du revenu, certains postes (de spécialistes par exemple) et certaines spécialités.

Conclusions : En Arabie saoudite, les dentistes entretiennent un nombre élevé d'interactions avec les représentants dentaires. La plupart des problèmes identifiés sont communs à ceux observés dans d'autres régions du monde.
Introduction

The interactions between dentists and dental supply representatives in Saudi Arabia are not well-known, similar to the interactions between medical doctors and sales representatives of pharmaceutical companies. 

Objectives

The study aimed to determine the magnitude and determinants of these interactions in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, and identify the factors related to these interactions.

Methods

A cross-sectional survey was conducted among practicing dentists in a governmental hospital and a university hospital in different parts of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The survey was sent to all participants, electronically or by paper, and responses were collected anonymously. The response rate was 67.2% (n=672). The results showed that 68% of the dentists worked with these representatives.

Results

Dentists who worked with these representatives were more likely to be male, older, and in the eastern region, with a good level of satisfaction. Some of the factors associated with these interactions were gender, age, and region. The analysis showed that the interaction rate was 63.7% (75.9% for the foreign doctors). The analysis also showed that the interactions were more frequent in the eastern region.

Discussion

The findings showed that dentists who worked with these representatives were more likely to be male, older, and in the eastern region, with a good level of satisfaction. Some of the factors associated with these interactions were gender, age, and region. The analysis showed that the interaction rate was 63.7% (75.9% for the foreign doctors). The analysis also showed that the interactions were more frequent in the eastern region.
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