ABSTRACT The purpose of this study was to develop a Persian version of ECOS-16 Questionnaire and assess its reliability and validity. Two hundred and twenty women with postmenopausal osteoporosis were included in the study. Patients who were diagnosed as secondary osteoporosis by clinical and laboratory examinations were excluded. For reliability, an internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha coefficient) of ECOS-16 total score was calculated. The age of the study participants ranged from 50 to 75 years old (59.9 ± 7). Cronbach's alpha of the Persian version of the ECOS-16 was 0.84. Therefore, the Persian version of the ECOS-16 is a reliable and valid questionnaire to be used in the evaluation of quality of life in women with postmenopausal osteoporosis.
RÉSUMÉ La présente étude avait pour objectif de mettre au point une version perse du questionnaire ECOS-16 et d’évaluer sa fiabilité et sa validité. Deux cent vingt femmes souffrant d’ostéoporose post-ménopausique ont été incluses dans l’étude. Les patientes diagnostiquées avec une ostéoporose secondaire aux examens cliniques et biologiques en ont été exclues. Afin d’évaluer la fiabilité, la cohérence interne (coefficient alpha de Cronbach) du score total du questionnaire ECOS-16 a été calculée. L’âge des participantes à l’enquête était compris entre 50 et 75 ans (59,9 ± 7). L’alpha de Cronbach de la version perse du questionnaire ECOS-16 était de 0,84. La version perse du questionnaire ECOS-16 constitue donc un questionnaire fiable et valable pouvant être utilisé pour l’évaluation de la qualité de la vie des femmes souffrant d’ostéoporose post-ménopausique.

1Department of Epidemiology; School of Public Health, Arak University of Medical Sciences, Arak, Islamic Republic of Iran (Correspondence to: Rahmatollah Moradzadeh: r.moradzadeh@arakmu.ac.ir). 2Department of Rheumatology, School of Medicine, Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences, Sanandaj, Islamic Republic of Iran.

3Department of Health Education & Promotion, Faculty of Health Sciences, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Islamic Republic of Iran. 4Islamic Azad University, Sanandaj Branch, Sanandaj, Islamic Republic of Iran.

Received: 17/01/16; accepted: 17/01/17

Introduction

Osteoporosis is a chronic and progressive disease characterized by decreased bone mass and deterioration of the microscopic structure of bone causing it to be prone to fracture (1), and consequently the condition affects quality of life (2,3). It is a common disease: 1 in every 4 women and 1 in every 8 men will be affected in their lifetime (4). With the onset of menopause, bone loss in women increases considerably: in the first 5–10 years the loss is 25–30% of trabecular bone and 10–15% of cortical bone. Therefore, postmenopausal women are at high risk of osteoporosis and its complications. According to the World Health Organization, approximately 21% of women aged 50–84 years have osteoporosis (5), and with the growth in the elderly population up to 2025, the incidence of osteoporosis-related fractures will double, thus the direct and indirect costs associated with these fractures will increase dramatically (1,6); it is expected that more than 75% of fractures caused by osteoporosis in the next 50 years will
occur in developing countries (7).

In a comprehensive Iranian study, 50% of men and 70% of women aged 50 years and over had osteoporosis or osteopenia (8). The estimated prevalence of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women in Kurdistan province is 34.4% (9). In a 2005 Iranian study, the average cost per patient with pelvic fractures was estimated at US$ 588 and the mean duration of hospitalization per patient was 16 days (10). In a study on the burden of osteoporosis in the Islamic Republic of Iran, the disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) was estimated at 36 761, 17 619 DALYs for women and 19 143 DALYs for men (10).

Currently, clinical trials are conducted on osteoporosis based on results measured by subjective tests. However, this indicator does not reflect the patient’s normal daily activities and is not appropriate for assessing disability and symptoms. Additionally, recently some specific questionnaires on health-related quality of life, such as the Osteoporosis Assessment Questionnaire, have been aimed at determining the generic aspects of osteoporosis, i.e. these have been used as the main outcome in clinical studies on osteoporosis. However, they cannot explore in detail specific aspects of osteoporosis, for example, the fear of falling and suffering a bone fracture, the inability to adequately carry out domestic tasks, the ability to dress oneself adequately without help and despair about an uncertain future are all stressful for patients (11). These items are not included in the questionnaires and their omission could lead to an incomplete evaluation of the health-related quality of life of patients with osteoporosis.

While clinicians and researchers may apply a variety of questionnaires to assess health-related quality of life (12–15), the length of these instruments and the time they take to complete may limit their use. Consideration of greater efficiency, decreased burden on the respondent and clinical feasibility has led to the development of shorter questionnaires (16). Short questionnaires minimize a patient’s time and effort and thus increase his or her willingness to complete it. For use in clinical practice, a valid, short, easy and understandable questionnaire is required and the ECOS-16 (Assessment of Health Related Quality of Life in Osteoporosis) questionnaire encompasses these characteristics (11). It is based on the combination of 2 disease-specific health-related quality of life questionnaires for women with osteoporosis: the Osteoporosis Quality of Life Questionnaire (OQLQ) and the Quality of Life Questionnaire of the European Foundation for Osteoporosis (QUALEFFO). The 16 items in the new questionnaire are divided qualitatively into 4 dimensions: pain, physical functioning, fear of illness and psychosocial functioning. Nevertheless, this is a unidimensional questionnaire according to the quantitative analysis. The score for each item ranges from 1 to 5. ECOS-16 generates a single summary score obtained from the arithmetic mean of the items answered, consequently the total score ranges from 1 (best) to 5 (worst). It is a self-administered questionnaire, apart from some special cases (vision difficulties or illiteracy) where it was acceptable for it to be administered by health care personnel or experienced interviewers.
There is no Farsi version of the ECOS-16 questionnaire; since quality of life depends on the cultural background of each country, the quality of life of Iranian women with osteoporosis should be evaluated using a questionnaire validated for Iranian society. Therefore, the aim of this study is to validate the ECOS-16 questionnaire for the evaluation of health-related quality of life in post-menopausal women with osteoporosis.

**Methods**

**Sample**

The minimum necessary sample size was based on Everitt’s recommendations (17), thus, the ratio of sample size to number of questions (16 in this study) should be at least 10. A non-probability sample (convenience sampling technique) of 220 patients with osteoporosis with low back pain (diagnosis validated by a physician) referred to a rheumatology clinic in Sanandaj was recruited into the study. Among patients routinely referred to the clinic, available patients were recruited. Overall, among the patients we approached, 10 people refused to participate, giving a response rate was 96% (220/230).

The inclusion criteria were determined according to the clinical and radiographic criteria: being female, aged ≥ 50 years and diagnosed by T-score ≤ –2.5 measured at 2 points on the hip and spine. We excluded patients who had other rheumatic diseases, had participated in any physical therapy programme during the previous 6 months, had traumatic injuries (18) or had advanced cardiac or peripheral vascular disease.

The study was carried out from July to December 2013. The purpose of the study and the rights of human subjects for a research study were explained to the participants. All participants gave written informed consent and all information was strictly confidential and anonymous.

The literate patients completed the questionnaires independently and the patients who were illiterate or poorly educated were interviewed in a private room at the rheumatology clinic. The time needed to complete the questionnaires by literate patients was about 10–15 min and each interview for the other participants lasted 15–20 min. Generally, most of the patients found the ECOS-16 easy to complete (for literate participants who completed the questionnaires themselves), easy to answer (for illiterate patients who were interviewed) and also relevant to their current osteoporosis-related problems. Most participants (> 95%) answered the questionnaires with no missing data.

**Developing the Farsi version**
A back-translation technique was used to develop the Farsi translation of ECOS-16. The questionnaire was translated into Farsi independently by 2 native translators of Farsi (1 rheumatologist with experience in osteoporosis and 1 professional translator). The translations obtained were discussed in a first consensus panel (a rheumatologist, 2 experts in health education and an epidemiologist with experience in osteoporosis) to realize the first preliminary version. This consensus version was translated back to English by a professional English translator who had not seen the original English version. The translations and back-translations were discussed in a second consensus panel to realize a second preliminary version. These consensus versions were completed by a group of 15 women aged 50–60 years who had osteoporosis recruited from the same clinic, but not participants in the study itself. This was to confirm that all items were understandable and included all the expected concepts and items without any redundancy. This was clear and well understood and therefore no cross-cultural modification was needed. Finally, a third consensus panel was formed to generate the final Farsi version of the ECOS-16 questionnaire (19).

**Statistical analysis**

Descriptive analysis was used to illustrate the basic features of the demographic data. Factor analysis embraces exploratory factor analysis and confirmatory factor analysis. Exploratory factor analysis is a statistical method used to discover the underlying structure of a relatively large set of variables (20). In this study, facture structure of the Farsi version of the ECOS-16 questionnaire was analysed using exploratory factor analysis. Exploratory factor analysis was used to achieve the study objectives.

In order to test the internal consistency of the ECOS-16 questionnaire, Cronbach’s alpha coefficient was used. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS, version 18.0 for Windows.

**Results**

The age range of the study participants was 50–75 (mean 59.9, standard deviation 7.0) years. A total of 200 (90.9%) of the 220 participants were married and 190 (86.3%) were housewives. More than half of the participants (n = 130, 59.1%) were illiterate. Family history of osteoporosis in participants’ mothers was 13.6% (n = 30). Most of the participants had no history of bone (n = 130, 59.1%) or vertebral (63.6%) fractures.

Internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha) estimation was 0.84. The Kasier–Meyer–Olkin measure of sampling statistic was 0.77, so the data were suitable for factor analysis. Moreover, Barlett’s test of sphericity was significant, i.e. the alternative hypothesis is confirmed, and there is a
significant correlation between the variables.

In the evaluation of sharing value of variables or total variance with sharing value of factor of variables, the range was 53.1 to 92.7%. Only 3 items (3 questions) were Table1).

Most of the sharing values were > 50%, which implies the ability of 5 factors in explaining the variance of each question (item). According to the results of a set of values derived from rotation, 5 factors can explain the variances. Using the Varimax rotation, these 5 factors can explain 80% of the variance (Table 2). We observed that from the 6th factor onwards changes in specific values were low, so it can be concluded that these 5 factors are the most important in explaining the variance.

The adoption of each item (or question) in the factor is based on the significant correlation between this item and other items in that factor. Therefore, it became clear that questions 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10 and 11 place in factor 1, questions 1, 2, 5 and 13 place in factor 2, questions 12 and 14 place in factor 3, questions 15 and 16 place in factor 4 and question 7 places in factor 5.

**Discussion**

In the present study, the validity and reliability of the Farsi version of ECOS-16 were evaluated on a sample of 220 patients with osteoporosis in Sanandaj.

Our findings here suggest that the ECOS-16 could be a valid, reliable and useful instrument wherever a brief, simple method of measuring the health-related quality of life, as in this type of patient population, is needed, and it has high internal consistency and a sound factorial structure. Regarding feasibility, the ECOS-16 was in general very good with no disturbing questions, few confusing questions, and a very low rate of missing data. The patients can complete the questionnaire in approximately 10 minutes. All fit indices were acceptable and the 4 factors were meaningful and interpretable.

Factor analysis showed that 2 factors of the ECOS-16 questionnaire accounted for 43.9 per cent of the explained variance. The first factor (28.7% of the explained variance) represents the patient’s perceived disability in major areas of daily life. The second factor (15.2% of the explained variance) reflects the patient’s psychological troubles. Both dimension scales revealed satisfactory internal consistency.
The ECOS-16 has been translated into many languages for non-English-speaking countries, e.g. Italy (16) and Turkey (21), which indicates the usefulness and reliability of this questionnaire of quality of life. In the Italian version, Salaffi et al. identified 4 factors (16), whereas in our study we identified 5 factors that can explain variance. In the Italian study, 2 components of the ECOS-16 questionnaire accounted for 88.4% of the explained variance. The first factor was 45.9% and the second factor was 42.4% of the explained variance. So, the ECOS-16 questionnaire revealed satisfactory internal consistency (16). Cronbach’s alpha values were 0.81–0.89, similar to our result. Yilmaz et al., in a study on the Turkish version of the ECOS-16 questionnaire, indicated Cronbach’s alpha was 0.90 (21), again similar to our result.

However, caution is needed before concluding that there is a causal relationship. Confounding factors may play a role in the observed association of reduced health-related quality of life in postmenopausal women with vertebral fractures, including sociodemographic conditions, education level, psychosocial status and other traditional risk factors. Self-reported chronic pain or reduced physical function, which are common complaints of elderly people, may be secondary symptoms of another condition, such as ischaemic heart disease, pain due to digestive diseases or chronic peripheral neuropathic pain (16).

In conclusion, our findings show that the Farsi version of the ECOS-16 questionnaire demonstrated acceptable validity and reliability. Thus, we expect that the Farsi version of this questionnaire will be useful for patients with osteoporosis in Iranian research and clinical settings. The Farsi version of the ECOS-16 questionnaire will increase the comparability of studies conducted in the Islamic Republic of Iran and in English speaking countries, and facilitate international collaboration in this field. It has potential applications in clinical practice and research. It is easy to administer, can be completed and scored quickly and is easily interpretable. It is suitable for assessing changes in treatment over time, for developing proper interventions or for determining the effect of interventions on various challenges. Responses to this questionnaire could also be used to develop an individualized approach in facilitating osteoporosis treatments and to improve quality of life. The items rated may warrant special consideration as these may become the basis for better quality of life.

It is suggested that further analysis may be used in considering potential biases, e.g. misclassification and selection bias (22). Also, consideration of other sources of bias is recommended in future studies; the results obtained may be regarded as closer to reality. It is possible that other factors (for example, confounding factors) affected our results, and in this research they have not been adjusted for.
Although our research was conducted at only one location, the study site was an Iranian city rheumatology clinic and our findings may be generalizable to many other areas in the country.
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