# Final Report on "Intervention program for promotion of physical activity and healthy eating in primary schoolchildren in Sousse, Tunisia"

#### SECTION A. GENERAL INFORMATION

- 1. First Progress Report on "Intervention program for promotion of physical activity and healthy eating in primary schoolchildren in Sousse, Tunisia"
- 2. Pr Hassen Ghannem
- 3. Sousse, Tunisia
- 4. Number: CFP-RPPH 14-16
- 5. Reporting period: from 1st September to 20th December 2015.
- 6. Objectives of the research proposal

General objective: The overall aim expected to be achieved from this research promote physical activity and healthy eating among primary schoolchildren.

Specific objectives: 3-5 clearly stated SMART specific objectives (specific, measurable, achievable, relevant to EMR, time-bound), which break-down the general objective

- 1. Demonstrate that five months intervention program with parents' implication and structural changes for children aged 6 to 12 years in primary school is able to increase physical activity practice and reduce sedentary activity inside and outside schools by 12%.
- 2. Demonstrate that five months intervention program with parents' implication and structural changes in primary school is able to decrease consumption of unhealthy snacks by 20% among children aged 6 to 12 years.
- 3. Demonstrate that six months intervention program with parents' implication and structural changes in primary school is able to

decrease consumption of snacks in the morning by 10% among children aged 6 to 12 years.

# SECTION B. TECHNICAL REPORT Introduction:

Obesity is a growing worldwide epidemic, cited by the World Health Organization as one of the leading public health challenges of the 21st century (1). Surveys carried out since 1990 by the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF) have estimated the global prevalence of excess body fat in school aged children to be at ten percent with a quarter of those overweight children characterized as obese (2). With the rapid rise in childhood obesity rates comes an increased risk for chronic, non-communicable diseases including poor glucose tolerance and a raised risk of type 2 diabetes, pediatric hypertension, sleep apnea, as well as psychosocial conditions like depression and social exclusion (2). Furthermore, Whitaker et al (3) raises the significance of obesity prevention in childhood by providing evidence of it being a predictor for adult obesity and its impending consequences.

Once considered the concern of higher-income countries, low and middle-income countries are quickly beginning to experience the same trends. Increase in childhood obesity in the coming years is predicted to be 30% higher in low and middle-income countries (4). According to the surveys conducted by the IOTF, the Near/Middle Eastern region is ranked third in childhood overweight and obesity prevalence after only the Americas and Europe (2). While data for North Africa is limited (5), previous studies carried out in Tunisia have observed an increase in obesity rates of pre-school children (6), adolescents (5,7,8) and adults(6,9). This progression can imply

an overall increase in obesity rates for all ages, including primary school aged children, despite the lack of data (7).

A number of studies have noted the importance of the modification of risky behaviors (specifically physical inactivity and poor nutrition) in order to properly combat the rise of overweight and obesity rates (10,11). These risk factors are prominent among young people in Tunisia, and its results are marked through the epidemiological transition currently being experienced by the country (9). Therefore, this period of transition along with the suggestion from previous studies to tackle the obesity problem as early as possible to promote proper development (12), provides a crucial window for the encouragement of lifelong healthy habits.

Previous school based programs targeted towards primary school aged children have noted great successes in improving nutrition and physical activity behaviors worldwide (11, 13,14). Furthermore, WHO has developed a costing tool for countries to add or replace interventions based on needs or national priorities including "Best Buys" physical activity and nutrition intervention (15)

In Tunisia, a number of programs and legislation exist to encourage healthy behaviors in pre-school (aged 3-5 years) children; however, none exist to combat the problem in primary schools. Schoolchildren of this age group (6-12 years) often purchase high-energy density, trans fat rich snacks from nearby street vendors and adopt sedentary behaviors. In addition, increased sedentary behaviors has been linked to an increase in unhealthy snacking and a lack of adherence

to recommended dietary patterns (16) of three meals per day with one afternoon snack and discouraging morning snack (17-18).

The aim of this study was to promote physical activity and healthy eating among primary schoolchildren by demonstrating that five months intervention program with parents' implication and structural changes for children aged 6 to 12 years in primary school is able to:

- increase physical activity practice and reduce sedentary activity inside and outside schools by 12%.
- decrease consumption of unhealthy snacks by 20%.
- -decrease consumption of snacks in the morning by 10%.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

Study design: Quasi experimental study in primary schools in the region of Sousse Tunisia among children aged 6 to 12 years old.

We conducted this study with two groups: Intervention and control. We selected one primary school in each group. The participating primary schools were identified by convenience to ensure the feasibility of the intervention (an institution with motivated staff). This selection was done with the assistance of the regional direction of education of Sousse to have two comparable schools in term of number of primary schoolchildren and socio-demographic characteristics.

### **Intervention Program:**

The intervention program was been implemented in intervention primary school and concern all schoolchildren in this institution. It included:

- Educational activities targeting children, their parents, school and after school staff.
- Identification of group of schoolchildren leaders who have a role model to disseminate healthy habits to their colleagues.
- distribution of educational tools

- Physical activity sessions
- incentives for children: offer awards for children who improve their eating and physical activity habits
- incentives for the institution to improve their infrastructure to be adequate for practicing physical activity
- collaboration with administration to decrease the accessibility to unhealthy snacks in school and offer incentives for children who consume healthy snacks.

The table below describes the intervention activities.

Activity	Animated by	Target population	Principle
Training	Dietician and	1 session for	-healthy eating,
workshops	project team	teachers and school	-snacking in the morning could be at risk of obesity,
about		and after school	-importance of eating breakfast
healthy		staff	-how to choose healthy snacks
eating,		1 session for	- valorize healthy habits
		schoolchildren	
		leaders	
Physical	Physical	Ensure at least one	- exercices adapted to sex, age, weight status.
activity	activity	hour session of	- opt for activities that enjoy children
promotion	teacher(s) and	physical activity	- propose exercises to insert in daily activities
	project team	per week for al	
		schoolchildren.	
Improve	refreshment	Schoolchildren	Offer healthy snacks for children such as fruits,
affordabilit	bar		vegetables, bread, dairy milk products
y and			Limit accessibility at least in primary school to
accessibilit			unhealthy product mainly trans fat, high fat and high
y to healthy			salt food
products			
Send SMS	Project team	for parents and	-Twice a month
		children who have	-Promotion of physical activity and healthy diet
		cell phone	Importance of parents implication
Distribution	Prepared by	Schoolchildren	Booklet with educational messages.
of	the project	Parents	Creation of Facebook group for dissemination of
intervention	team	Primary school staff	educationnal messages, activities of school,
tools			discussion with children and their parents

Study population: We included primary schoolchildren enrolled in selected schools.

Data collection concerned randomly selected sample from intervention and control primary school from all grades (1st to 6th grade).

The intervention concerned all schoolchildren in intervention group.

We plan a delayed intervention in control group after the end of the project and post assessment data collection.

Sample size: Sample size estimates were based on a one-sided significance level of 0.05 and 80% power to detect between-group

- 12% difference in practicing 30 mm of daily physical activity.
- 20% difference in consumption of unhealthy snacks
- 10% difference in consumption of snacks in the morning

Assuming a failure to consent rate of 10% and a dropout rate of 10%, 330 participants in each group are needed to be referred.

Sampling method: A random sample of primary schoolchildren was been proportionally selected with stratification by level of education (1st to 6 th grade). We selected 9 classes from intervention school and 11 classes from control school.

Data collection: We used:

Questionnaire for parents to collect data about:

Socio-demographic and lifestyle habits of the participants was collected by a pre-tested questionnaire self administered for parents. It was administered at baseline and will be after 5 months of intervention. It contains variables about:

- age, sex, level of education of children
- age, sex, level of education of parents

- eating habits: food rhythm, kind of snacks consumed (healthy, unhealthy), time of snack consumption
- Physical activity habits, screen time, time for home works.
- Parental support in promotion of healthy eating and physical activity.

Ouestionnaire for children to collect data about:

participants about nutrition of and physical activity. and snacks consumed the previous dav of data collection. We used a self administered questionnaire simplified and adapted to the age of primary schoolchildren with the presence of trained interviewers and teachers. These data was collected at baseline and will be after 5 months of intervention.

Anthropometric measurements: Weight and high were collected at baseline and will be after 5 months of intervention for selected schoolchildren.

These measures were taken by pre-trained interviewers. Body weight was recorded over a light article of clothing to the nearest 0.1kg using a portable electronic scale. Standing height was measured with the participants in bare feet to the nearest 0.5 cm.

Ethical considerations: We received an ethical approval for this research from the Ethical Committee of Farhat Hached University Hospital.

We obtained signed consent from parent and oral consent from children who participated to this study.

#### **Activity implementation:**

The study began in  $1^{\text{st}}$  September. The following table describes the different activities we implemented during the period from  $1^{\text{st}}$  September to  $20^{\text{th}}$  December.

Time period	Activities		
1°September	We prepared and pre-tested the		
15 <sup>th</sup> September	Questionnaire for pre assessment.		
	We prepared the consent form.		
	We submitted the protocol of the study,		
	questionnaire and consent form to the		

	[this] Committee of Fachet Hashad
	Ethical Committee of Farhat Hached University Hospital for approval.
	We obtained the ethical approval for this
	study.
	Questionnaire and consent form impression.
	Data collectors' enrollment and training.
	bata correctors emornment and training.
15 <sup>th</sup> September -	Identification of primary schools: control
1 <sup>st</sup> October	and intervention.
	A sample of primary schoolchildren
	proportionally selected with
	stratification by level of education (1st
	to 6 <sup>th</sup> grade). We selected 9 classes from
	intervention school and 11 classes from
	control school.
	We deposit a demand for agreement to
	undertake the study in primary schools to
	the Regional Education Direction of
	Sousse.
	Preparation and validation of intervention
	tool which consist on "Our school in
	health booklet"
1 <sup>st</sup> October-	Data collection from schoolchildren and
10 <sup>th</sup> November	their parents in both intervention and
	control schools.
	Weight and high was collected for selected
	schoolchildren.
	Conception of intervention tool (attachment).
	Beginning data capture of collected data.
	We got the agreement to undertake the
	study from education authorities.
	Training session about healthy diet and
	physical activities for school and after
	school staff of intervention school in our
	department animated by dietetician and
	psychologist.
	Organization of open day for
	schoolchildren in intervention school:
	offering healthy snacks, animation during
	breaks with different physical activities
	(dance, competitions with awards) and
	workshops during class sessions
	(gardening, tasting workshops, cooking).
	Distribution of intervention tool "Our
4 Oth	school in health booklet"
10 <sup>th</sup> November	, <u> </u>
- 1 <sup>st</sup> December	about healthy diet and physical activity.
	Animation of workshops in intervention
	school with project team, dietician and

1st Dogganhau	teachers: about healthy diet, fruits and vegetable of the season Quizzes and competitions with distribution of rewards. Distribution of sports equipments for the school to improve physical activity practice.  Improvement of healthy snacks affordability in refreshment bar. Offer of gifts for children who by healthy snacks.
	Period of exams
12 <sup>th</sup> December	Identification of schoolchildren leaders
	with teachers and organization of their
	training.
12 <sup>th</sup> December	Training for schoolchildren leaders about
- 20 <sup>th</sup>	healthy diet, promotion of physical
December	activity with limited area and how to give
	advice to pairs.

# **Preliminary Results:**

N.B: These results are based on the data that we have already entered by the date of December 20th 2015 (some data are still being entered but for the imperative reason of sending the final report before the end of the month, we are analyzing the current set of data)

# <u>Sociodemographic characteristics at pre assessment:</u>

Table I: Sociodemographic characteristics of participants in intervention and control groups:

			Intervention group n (%)	Control group n (%)
Sex :boy			114 (52.2)	70 (45.8)
Mother's :Primary	education	level	37 (13.6)	40 (26.3)
Father's :Primary	education	level	35 (12.9)	39 (26.0)
Attend an	After-school	·	76 (27.7)	30 (20.3)

The mean age of participants was  $8.8 \pm 1.7$  years and  $7.8 \pm 1.8$  years old respectively in intervention and control groups.

# **Eating habits:**

Table II: child eating rhythm according to parents in intervention and control groups:

Intervention group	Control group n (%)
n (%)	

Breakfast	246 (90.4)	133 (88.1)
Snack in the morning	180 (66.2)	105 (69.5)
Lunch	256 (94.1)	133 (88.1)
Snack in the afternoon	166 (61.3)	77 (51.0)
Dinner	243 (89.3)	138 (91.4)
Other snacks (nibbling)	38 (14.0)	29 (19.2)

Table III: Consumption of snack in the morning the day before data collection:

Snacking in the morning	Intervention group n (%)	Control group n (%)
Didn't take a snack in the morning	97 (32.1)	48 (25.7)
Dairy product	34 (11.3)	29 (15.5)
Fruit	37 (12.3)	22 (11.8)
Vegetable	2 (0.7)	2 (1.1)
Bread	35 (11.6)	42 (22.5)
Unhealthy snack (high fat and carbohydrate)	97 (31.1)	44 (23.5)

Table IV: Consumption of snack in the afternoon the day before data collection:

Snacking in the afternoon	Intervention group n (%)	Control group n (%)
Didn't take a snack in the afternoon	111 (37.0)	93 (49.7)
Dairy product	30 (10.0)	18 (9.6)
Fruit	37 (12.3)	26 (13.9)
Vegetable	2 (0.7)	0 (0.0)
Bread	50 (16.7)	25 (13.4)
Unhealthy snack (high fat and carbohydrate)	60 (20.4)	25 (12.9)

The proportion of children who didn't take snack in the morning was 32.1% and 25.7% respectively in intervention and control group. This proportion was higher in the afternoon in the two groups. However unhealthy snacks are most frequent in the morning in the two groups.

The proportion of children who didn't eat anything between meals time was 67.6% vs 72.6% in intervention and control groups.

# Physical activity habits:

Table V: Number of days participants are physically active for a total of at least 30 minutes per day

	Intervention group	Control group
	n (%)	n (%)
0 day per week	55 (21.3)	24 (17.1)
1 day per week	45 (17.4)	49 (35.0)
2 days per week	63 (24.4)	15 (10.7)
3 days per week	43 (16.7)	22 (15.7)
4 days per week	19 (7.4)	7 (5.0)
5 days per week	11 (4.3)	7 (5.0)
6 days per week	3 (1.2)	3 (2.1)
7 days per week	19 (7.4)	13 (9.3)

Table VI: More than 2 hours a day participants usually watch television (including videos), use a computer for non-school use, sit and talk with friends, or does other sitting activities

	Intervention group n (%)	Control group n (%)
Week day	100 (32.5)	69 (36.3)
Week end	169 (54.9)	112 (58.9)

#### Comments and recommendations:

We note that eating and physical activity habits need improvement to prevent obesity and NCDs at adulthood. The main actions should target eating rhythm to decrease consumption of snacks in the morning and improve quality of snacking particularly in the afternoon to avoid nibbling.

Concerning physical activity, there's an important proportion of children who don't practice regular physical activity. This risk factor could be improved by the adaptation of the environment, education of children and their parents for the importance of physical activity.

# Actions remaining to be done:

Due to the delay in the reception of the first installment of the grant, all our activities in this project were postponed. That's why; we did not finish the intervention program and not collect post intervention data yet. The evaluation of results will be done by the comparison pre post assessment in each group.

#### References:

- (1) Childhood overweight and obesity. Geneva (CH): World Health Organization; 2014. <a href="http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/childhood/">http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/childhood/</a> en/. Accessed on December 24, 2014.
- (2) Lobstein T, Baur L, Uauy R. Obesity in children and young people: a crisis in public health. Obes Rev 5. 2004; Suppl. 1, S4-S104.
- (3) Whitaker R, Wright J, Pepe M, Seidel K, Dietz W. Predicting obesity in young adulthood from childhood and parental obesity. N Engl J Med. 1997 Sep;337:869-873.
- (4) Commission on ending childhood obesity hold hearing with non-state actors. Geneva (CH): World Health Organization; 2014. <a href="http://www.who.int/end-childhood-obesity/en/">http://www.who.int/end-childhood-obesity/en/</a>. Accessed on December 24, 2014.
- (5) Aounallah-Skhiri H, Romdhane HB, Traissac P, Eymard-Duvernay S, Delpeuch F, Achour N, et al. Nutritional status of Tunisian adolescents: associated gender, environmental and socio-economic factors. Public Health Nutr. 2008 Dec;11(12):1306-17.

- (6) Mokhtar N, Elati J, Chabir R, Bour A, Elkari K, Schlossman N. et al. Diet Culture and Obesity in Northern Africa. J Nutr. 2001 Mar;131(3):8875-8925.
- (7) Ghannem H, Harrabi I, Ben Abdelaziz A, Gaha R, Mrizak N. Clustering of cardiovascular risk factors among obese urban schoolchildren in Sousse, Tunisia. East Mediterr Health J. 2003 Jan-Mar;9(1-2):70-7.
- (8) Aounallah-Skhiri H, Traissac P, El Ati J, Eymard-Duvernay S, Landais E, Achour N, et al. Nutrition transition among adolescents of a south-Mediterranean country: dietary patterns, association with socioeconomic factors, overweight and blood pressure. A cross-sectional study in Tunisia. Nutr J. 2011; 10: 38.
- (9) Atek M, Traissac P, El Ati J, Laid Y, Aounallah-Skhiri H, Eymard-Duvernay S, et al. Obesity and association with area of residence, gender and socioeconomic factors in Algerian and Tunisian adults. PLoS One. 2013 Oct 8;8(10):e75640.
- (10) Gortmaker SL, Peterson K, Wiecha J, Sobol A, Dixit S, Fox M, et al. Reducing obesity via a school-based interdisciplinary intervention among youth: Planet Health. Arch PediatrAdolesc Med. 1999;153(4):409-418.

- (11) Burke RM, Meyer A, Kay C, Allensworth D, Gazmararian JA. A holistic school-based intervention for improving health-related knowledge, body composition, and fitness in elementary school students: an evaluation of the HealthMPowers program. Int J BehavNutrPhys Act. 2014 Jun 26;11:78.
- (12) Allen L, Gillespie S. What works? A review of the efficacy and effectiveness of nutrition interventions.

  Geneva (CH): Asian Development Bank; 2001.
- (13) Lakshman RR, Sharp SJ, Ong KK, Forouhi NG. A novel school-based intervention to improve nutrition knowledge in children: cluster randomised controlled trial. BMC Public Health. 2010 Mar 10:10:123.
- (14) Ickes M, McMullen J, Haider T, Sharma M. Global school-based childhood obesity intervention: a review. Int J Environ Res Public Health. Sep 2014; 11(9): 8940-8961.
- (15) World Economic Forum. From Burden to "Best Buys":

  Reducing the Economic Impact of Non-Communicable

  Diseases in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. Geneva,

  Switzerland; 2011
- (16) Santaliestra-Pasías AM, Mouratidou T, Huybrechts I, Beghin L, Cuenca-García M, Castillo MJ, et al.

Increased sedentary behaviour is associated with unhealthy dietary patterns in European adolescents participating in the HELENA study. Eur J ClinNutr. 2014 Mar; 68(3):300-8.

- (17) Bocquet A , Bresson JL, Briend A, Chouraqui JP, Darmaun D, Dupont C et al. The morning snack at school is inadequate and unnecessary. Arch Pediatr 2003; (10):945-947
- (18) Thibault H, Carriere C, Langevin C,Atchoarena S,Delmas C, Baratchart BA et al. Morning snack offer in primary school: Outlook and practices in Aquitaine, France, between 2004 and 2008. Arch Pediatr 2010;17:1516-1521.