CONTEXT (WHICH COUNTRY AND SETTING, EG URBAN/RURAL, CONFLICT/STABLE)

QUESTION

Put the quest	Put the question here				
POPULATION:	People with acute stroke all ages				
INTERVENTION:	Organized inpatient care				
COMPARISON:	General medical care				
MAIN OUTCOMES:	Death				
SETTING:					
PERSPECTIVE:					
BACKGROUND:					
CONFLICT OF INTERESTS:					
INTERESTS:					

ASSESSMENT

Problem Is the problem a priority?				
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS		
o No o Probably no o Probably yes o Yes o Varies				

o Don't know						
Desirable Effects How substantial are the desirable anticipated effects?						
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS				
o Trivial o Small o Moderate o Large o Varies o Don't know						
Undesirable Effects How substantial are the undesirable anticipa	Undesirable Effects How substantial are the undesirable anticipated effects?					
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS				
o Large o Moderate o Small o Trivial o Varies o Don't know						

Certainty of evidence What is the overall certainty of the evidence of effects?						
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS				
o Very low o Low o Moderate o High o No included studies						
Values Is there important uncertainty about or varia	ability in how much people value the main outcomes?					
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS				
O Important uncertainty or variability O Possibly important uncertainty or variability O Probably no important uncertainty or variability O No important uncertainty or variability						
Balance of effects Does the balance between desirable and unc	desirable effects favor the intervention or the comparison?					
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS				
O Favors the comparison O Probably favors the comparison O Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison O Probably favors the intervention O Favors the intervention O Varies O Don't know						

Resources required How large are the resource requirements (costs)?					
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS			
O Large costs O Moderate costs O Negligible costs and savings O Moderate savings O Large savings O Varies O Don't know					
Certainty of evidence of requivalents is the certainty of the evidence of results.					
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS			
o Very low o Low o Moderate o High o No included studies					

Cost effectiveness Does the cost-effectiveness of the intervention favor the intervention or the comparison?						
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS				
O Favors the comparison O Probably favors the comparison O Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison O Probably favors the intervention O Favors the intervention O Varies O No included studies						
Equity What would be the impact on health equity?						
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS				
o Reduced o Probably reduced o Probably no impact o Probably increased o Increased o Varies o Don't know						
Acceptability Is the intervention acceptable to key stakeho	olders?					
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS				
o No o Probably no o Probably yes o Yes o Varies o Don't know						

Feasibility Is the intervention feasible to implement?					
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS			
 No Probably no Probably yes Yes Varies Don't know 					

	JUDGEMENT						
PROBLEM	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	No important uncertainty or variability			
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No included studies
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know

TYPE OF RECOMMENDATION

Str	ong recommendation against the intervention	Conditional recommendation against the intervention	Conditional recommendation for either the intervention or the comparison	Conditional recommendation for the intervention	Strong recommendation for the intervention
	0	0	0	0	0

CONCLUSIONS

Recommendation

Justification

Subgroup considerations

Implementation considerations

Monitoring and evaluation

Research priorities