Developing a framework for the monitoring and evaluation of the Health Transformation Plan in the Islamic Republic of Iran: lessons learned

Zhaleh Abdi ¹, Reza Majdzadeh ² and Elham Ahmadnezhad ¹

¹National Institute of Health Research, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran. ²Knowledge Utilization Research Center, Community Based Participatory Research Center, National Institute of Health Research, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran. (Correspondence to: Elham Ahmadnezhad: ahmadnezhad@tums.ac.ir).

Abstract

Background: Monitoring and evaluation (M&E) of health system reforms are essential to ensure the achievement of their objectives. The latest heath sector reform in Iran, namely, the Health Transformation Plan (HTP), launched in 2014. Iran is embarking on HTP to achieve universal health coverage (UHC).

Aims: The study aimed to develop the most appropriate M&E framework for HTP in accordance with national and global goals and priorities, and to identify data gaps for its M&E.

Method: A case study and evidence-based approach was applied to develop the M&E framework. The model that was proposed jointly by the World Bank and the World Health Organization (WHO) for M&E of UHC was used as the basis for the potential list of the indicators. Key policy documents were reviewed, accordingly. The framework formulation process was carried out through a series of meetings with experts and senior managers working at different department of the MoHME whose perspectives on the frameworks functionality and usage were regarded as valuable. The final draft was presented to policy makers for input and approval.

Results: A data mapping revealed that at least nine national surveys were required to obtain the indicators for effective monitoring. At the time of framework designing, many indicators were not available or had not been updated for several years. No appropriate data sources were available for the rest.

Conclusions: Results indicated that the country's health information system had many information gaps that should be filled to enable the tracking of UHC goals and measuring the success of the plan. Applying the proposed framework would increase the comparability of the country's health indicators at the global level and specify a path to successfully achieve the objectives of the reform.

Keywords: Reform, monitoring and evaluation, universal health coverage, global health, Iran

Received: 19/06/16; accepted: 13/08/18

Citation: Abdi Z; Majdzadeh R; Ahmadnezhad E. Developing a framework for the monitoring and evaluation of the Health Transformation Plan in the Islamic Republic of Iran: lessons learned. East Mediterr Health J. 2018;24(x):xxx. https://doi.org/10.26719/emhj.18.067

Copyright © World Health Organization (WHO) 2018. Some rights reserved. This work is available under the CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo).

Introduction

Timely monitoring and evaluation (M&E) of health system reforms is critical in order to identify the achievements of their objectives. M&E is a matter of great importance for two reasons: first, it can play a significant role in assessing the extent to which the reforms have achieved their goals; second, it may create a constructive environment for a dialogue among stakeholders by building a common language among them (1,2).

In recent decades, the Islamic Republic of Iran's health system has witnessed various structural and organizational changes. However, it still faces important challenges related to the accessing of health services and fair financial contributions of households to the financing of the health system (3–5). There are several reasons for the lack of success of health reforms in the country. Among the most important ones are lack of adequate political support and inadequate budgetary allocation for the health sector (5). According to the report of the core indicators for the monitoring of the health situation and health system performance prepared by the World Bank (6), Iranian households paid almost 47.2% of expenditures as out of pocket payment for health in 2013. The proportion of households that incur catastrophic health expenditure was estimated to be between 6% and 24% in different parts of the country. According to the results of these studies, 1.5%–11% of households suffered poverty due to healthcare related expenditures (7–12).

The Islamic Republic of Iran's General Health Policies were endorsed in 2014 (4). The policy, which requires fundamental changes in the health system, is to be implemented by 2025. Accordingly, the government prioritized health system reforms and kept them at the top of its agenda. The latest health sector reform - the Health Transformation Plan (HTP) - was launched in May 2014 to ensure the protection of Iranians against financial risks, increasing equity in accessing services, and improving the quality of services (3,13,14). The HTP had one primary phase and three main phases in the beginning. Given the shortage of medicines due to international sanctions, the Ministry of Health and Medical Education (MoH&ME) took major steps to remove the shortage of essential medicines and reduce the prices of medicines in early 2014. The first phase of the HTP, which included eight main interventions aimed at increasing people's access to hospital services (particularly in-patient services), was implemented in April 2014. These interventions involved insuring around 11 million people, who did not have any health insurance. The next phase encompassed interventions to strengthen the Primary Health Care (PHC) system and to ensure that the PHC functioned more efficiently. Owing to a high incidence of informal payment, the third phase, launched in November 2014, aimed at reducing and eradicating informal payments in the health sector. Since insufficient provider remuneration has been identified as the most important factors motivating informal payments among Iranian healthcare providers, the main objective of the third phase was to address physicians' payments and the setting of their payments in both the public and private sectors (8,15).

The Islamic Republic of Iran's National Institute of Health Research (NIHR), which is responsible for providing evidence to health policy-makers, was entrusted with the responsibility of M&E of the HTP (15). The latter was initiated in June 2014, a few weeks after the commencement of the HTP. In the absence of any baseline data, it was difficult to evaluate any changes made due to the HTP implementation at the beginning of the plan (16). Given this limitation, two short-term and long-term approaches were used to monitor and evaluate the HTP. In the short-term approach, an evaluation of the HTP was done using the readily available data. Within this approach, patient and staff experience and satisfaction as well as the financial risk protection of households were assessed.

One of the main goals of the HTP was to create satisfaction among patients receiving services from hospitals affiliated to the Mo&HME (15). Consequently, several small surveys assessing patients' and service providers' satisfaction were designed and implemented by the NIHR. The studies commenced from the summer of 2014 and were conducted seasonally until the time of writing this article. The results were reported regularly to the policy makers (15,17). For more than 20 years, the National Center of Statistics (NCS) conducted periodic household surveys to assess the living standards of Iranian households. The NIHR used the disaggregated NCS health expenditures data to provide policy-makers with the number of households that incurred catastrophic health expenditures or became impoverished before and after the introduction of the HTP. Apparently, indicators of satisfaction and financial contribution of households were not sufficient to fully demonstrate the changes and challenges brought about by the HTP implementation. Conducting a comprehensive evaluation necessitated an M&E framework for the plan. The current study aimed to develop the most appropriate M&E framework for the HTP and identify the data gaps to enable proper monitoring and evaluation.

Methods

The National Monitoring and Evaluation Framework

Development Pathway of M&E Framework

A case study and evidence-based approach was applied to develop an M&E framework. No specific M&E framework had been developed for M&E of the HTP at the time of designing. Considering the fact that the HTP was introduced to hasten the country's attainment of UHC, it was agreed upon to design a framework that simultaneously monitored the reform implementation and assessed the progress towards UHC. The global M&E proposed framework for UHC published by the World Health Organization (WHO) in collaboration with the World Bank (18), was used as the basic framework of this study. This model has been accepted for UHC tracking by all WHO Member States. It is proposed that every country develop its own framework based on its contextual factors, macro-policies, and health programmes. Accordingly, government policy documents were reviewed to determine the policies the addressed the UHC goals and objectives.

UHC ensures that those who need health services receive them without facing financial hardship. It is perceived as a crucial component of sustainable development and listed as one of the possible goals of the post-2015 development agenda (19,20). Various countries develop and refine their own approaches to UHC, depending on their levels of economic development, health system, and epidemiological challenges. Moving toward UHC requires technically sound definitions and metrics to measure progress.

Therefore, it is essential to identify appropriate approaches, comparable but adaptable to local contexts, to measure UHC progress across countries (2).

A review of a wide range of national and health sector documents was done to provide in-depth understanding of the national commitment for reaching UHC. In three out of 14 articles of the country's general health policies, certain aspects of the UHC such as the necessity of sustainable health sector financing, expansion of the cove rage of basic health insurance, and the deepening of the insurance coverage have been directly argued. In other upstream documents of the country such as the country's fifth national development plan (2011–2015) (16), a number of UHC objectives including financial protection, coverage, and equitable access to healthcare services have been mentioned. It has been recommended that, through the sixth development plan (3,16), the country should achieve the UHC objectives by 2025 (8,21).

The implementation of the HTP as the health system reform can accelerate the progress toward the UHC in the Islamic Republic of Iran. As mentioned before, it was decided to design a framework that simultaneously monitored the HTP implementation and tracked UHC progress. The NIHR initiated the development of the framework indicators and their metadata in a few months after the HTP implementation. The development of the metadata clarified the data requirements for monitoring progress toward UHC and current information gaps that could influence UHC monitoring.

Development of the indicators list consisted of three main steps: determination of the indicators, identification of data sources and measurement methods, and ensuring of appropriate disaggregation of the indicator. A rapid review of the country information system was conducted to understand country data availability and quality, data sources, flows and structures. The indicators were developed and classified, according to the objectives of the HTP and UHC, and the local context. While selecting the indicators, effort was made to retain a breadth of indicators to cover a range of health interventions. The following criteria were considered to select indicators:

- Aligning with national and international commitments;
- Ensuring data are available or can be collected or monitored with a reasonable resource;
- Selecting indicators in accordance with country's health needs;
- Reflecting all domains in the M&E framework from input to impact;
- Considerations of relevance, technically accurate with a measurable numerator and denominator, usefulness for decision-making, and data availability;
- Ensuring where possible indicators can be disaggregated for equity analysis.

A set of metadata for the proposed framework indicators was developed. Steps to be taken to ensure appropriate data collection to develop the indicators were further discussed. Clearly defining data sources and measurement frequency were among the most important steps of the framework development. Since the achievement of equity is implicit in the UHC goals, disaggregation of the indicators by the main equity stratifiers is critical to monitor progress in all population groups.

Therefore, in the proposed framework, the indicators were disaggregated by place of residence (urban/rural), sex (male/female), socio-economic status (wealth quintiles), and other relevant equity stratifies.

The framework formulation process was carried out through a series of meetings and workshops with experts and senior managers working at different departments of the MoHME whose perspectives on the frameworks functionality and usage were regarded as valuable. The involvement of different MoHME departments and divisions was important in order to ensure ownership and commitment. During these consultative discussions, operational issues related to the proposed indicators, their availability, and feasibility were discussed. Relevant feedback from the participants was incorporated in the final draft, which was presented to policy-makers for input and approval. The M&E framework was approved and agreed upon as a framework for the monitoring and evaluation of both the HTP and UHC by high-level policy makers, including deputy minsters.

Results

The list of the proposed indicators contains eight tracer indicators of financing, six indicators of infrastructure and health workforce, two indicators of health information system, 15 indicators of access to and coverage of health services, five indicators of the utilization of health services, nine indicators of service quality and safety, 13 indicators of effective coverage of services, 12 indicators of health risk factors, 11 indicators of health status, two indicators of financial risk protection and three indicators of satisfaction with health services (Appendix A). In the data mapping (see Table 1), data availability at the time of framework designing, data sources, responsible agencies for data collection, and the required frequency of data collection were considered. Indicators of the M&E framework could be categorized into four main domains:

- a) Input consists of three main categories including financial protection, human workforce, and infrastructures and information system;
- b) Output consists of four categories of indicators including access, coverage, utilization, and quality and safety;
- c) Outcome, which is practically the most important part of the M&E framework (due to lack of information), comprises indicators of effective coverage and the risk factors. The measurement of these indicators allows a comparative assessment of the health interventions at the global level;
- d) Impact contains indicators related to health status, satisfaction, and financial risk protection.

According to the framework, at least nine national surveys are required to develop framework indicators (Table 2). Following the M&E framework development, the NIHR decided to investigate the coverage and utilization of the health services among the Iranian population. Hence, two household surveys – the Healthcare Coverage and Utilization Survey (22) (investigating access to and coverage of medical care) and the country's Multiple Indicators Demographics and Health Survey (23) (IrMIDHS-investigating access to and coverage of primary health care) – were designed and implemented in 2014 and 2015 at the national level by the NIHR.

In general, there were gaps in available data required to track indicators and monitor progress toward UHC at the time of framework development. Among the selected indicators, almost half of them had already been tracked by the routine health information system and ongoing surveys. A number of indicators were not available or had not been updated for several years. No appropriate data sources were available for the rest. Furthermore, the available indicators did not describe the differences and changes in health indicators in subgroups of the population. If only the national averages of health indicators are monitored, they may not fully represent the changes in the health of a population. It means that there was lack of disaggregated information to undertake an equity-focused analysis of information and its use to refine policy-making and implementation.

Discussion

The current study was carried out in order to develop the most appropriate M&E framework for the HTP and to identify data gaps for its monitoring and evaluation. The M&E framework of the HTP was designed in accordance with the recommendations by the global guidance for UHC measuring and monitoring in country contexts. Appropriate data sources for measuring the indicators of the agreed M&E framework were determined accordingly.

According to the results of the current study, there were no appropriate data sources for some of the proposed indicators. Hence, to track the progress of UHC, a series of nationally representative surveys should be designed and implemented (19). Over the past two decades, most countries have implemented various health sector reforms to address gaps in access, equity, and effectiveness of health systems (19,20). Countries with effective M&E frameworks to evaluate the success of the reforms have almost all reported successful results towards achieving the reform goals. Some of them have successfully used the M&E framework for the UHC to assess the achievements of health sector reforms (24–30). The fact that the goals of the recent health reform are in accordance with the UHC aims and objectives can be regarded as a great opportunity for the Iranian health system in making headway toward UHC.

Furthermore, the most important components of the UHC (i.e. effective coverage of services and protection against financial risks) (20) have been addressed in the fifth and sixth development plans of the country (16). The designing of the M&E framework for the HTP revealed that the health information system was currently experiencing several challenges, limiting its capacity to generate the required information for tracing the framework indicators. In other words, although the Islamic Republic of Iran ranked 17th in terms of science production in the world in 2012 (31), there was lack of valid and reliable data for the monitoring and evaluation of macro policies. To give an example, seven rounds of the Integrated Monitoring Evaluation Survey System Study (IMES) aimed at assessing the reproductive health needs have been conducted in the country (32). However, due to lack of focus on equity, data on socio-economic status of participants were not obtained and analysed in these studies. As a result, they could not generate reliable evidence to refine policies and programmes and thereby reduce inequities in service access and coverage, as well as in health and well-being.

The framework indicators can be obtained from two main resources, i.e. the national surveys and the routine information system (19). To capture these indicators, nine or more national studies are required in general. Some of these studies are yet to be designed and conducted in the country (e.g. effective coverage surveys or SARA). Effective coverage is defined as the fraction of potential health gain that is actually delivered to the population through the health system, given its capacity (33). Effective coverage studies should have several sub-studies, depending on the selected indicators.

As for the surveys already conducted (e.g. Utilization Health Survey, IrMIDHS, STEPs), it should be noted that, until now, there is no data access policy to provide an overview of technical, legal and ethical issues related to the dissemination of the surveys' micro-data for research purposes. As a result, many national studies produced only descriptive reports after difficult and time-consuming work, resulting in limited data utilization for policy-making and planning. The NIHR developed a data access policy, which made data potentially available for statistical purposes to public-good researchers working within academic institutions, government agencies, and the wider health sector, subject to certain conditions. This was the first public official release of the survey data in the Islamic Republic of Iran (34). Some studies such as patient satisfaction surveys were designed and conducted following the launch of the HTP. The study investigates the satisfaction of inpatients in MoHME-affiliated hospitals with a small sample size. After the framework development, the investigation needs to be undertaken with a larger sample size that would better reflect the Iranian population (15,17).

The routine information system is another valuable data source to track some of the M&E framework indicators. Strengthening and harmonizing data collection through surveys and health facility reporting systems are critical for the monitoring of UHC (1,35). A health information system is a crucial component for the successful monitoring of the UHC objectives (19,28,35). Currently, the routine information system does not provide accurate and complete data in a timely manner (36). Routine data from the private health sector is not captured through the national health information system. Although a considerable volume of electronic data exists, they are fragmented and unsuitable for used in policy and decision-making. The implementation of the proposed framework is dependent on the functionality of the country's health information system. Therefore, there is an urgent need for strengthening the country's health information system in order to successfully monitor and evaluate both the HTP and UHC.

National surveys are often the main data source for tracking UHC achievements. They can provide accurate population statistics on the coverage of services and financial protection, disaggregated according to socioeconomic status, place of residence, sex, and other relevant variables (19,20). National household surveys are currently being conducted in the Islamic Republic of Iran without any determined frequency. The frequency of conducting these surveys is the most important current challenge that should be addressed according to the current data needs and available budget (7). A key consideration in measuring progress toward UHC is data quality (35), which is definitely critical to the success of the proposed M&E framework. Data are generally considered of high quality if they are accurate, complete, consistent, accessible, and timely. Data quality evaluation is crucial if we are to draw out relevant and accurate information from health surveys. There are some guidelines and

methods available for measuring and assessing survey quality. Data, data use, and the data collection process are the three aspects of data quality that should be assessed to assure overall data quality. We did not find any published evidence that showed whether the quality of previous household surveys had been evaluated. Therefore, it is critical to assign an external observer body or organization to evaluate survey quality in Iran (37).

The results indicate that there is no guideline to determine the periodicity of the national surveys. It is not clear when, and based on what needs, household surveys should be carried out. On the other hand, on-going surveys are inconsistent in questions assessing a specific topic (e.g. assessing households' socio-economic status). In this case, the equity analyses using different sources may not get the same answer (21). The developed framework can increase the consistency of the surveys for more appropriate comparisons. Owing to lack of a well-developed plan for conducting national surveys, household surveys cannot currently be considered as a reliable source to address questions of whether the HTP objectives have been achieved or whether the country has moved ahead in a positive direction toward achieving the UHC goals. The global UHC framework for countries (19) stipulates that the frequency of surveys should be clear in all national studies. Besides, they ought to be conducted based on the countries' needs every 1-5 years. The experiences of other countries demonstrate that the frequency of monitoring and evaluation should be decided while designing monitoring frameworks (20,25,26,30,35). According to these studies, the frequency of monitoring and evaluation of health reforms should be determined based on the availability of data. If routine data sources can generate information of acceptable quality, they would be good enough for the monitoring process. Evaluation usually investigates the long-term effects of reforms. Therefore, information generated by health surveys, carried-out almost every five years, may meet the information requirements for evaluation.

If the contents of a survey are insufficient to meet evaluation needs, special studies should be done (1,20). The results showed that the available household surveys did not meet the requirements of the M&E framework. Hence, new studies are needed, particularly to investigate indicators that are not currently measured, e.g. the effective coverage of healthcare interventions. Such studies should be conducted at an appropriate and reasonable frequency. A clear suggestion is that in the initial years of the reform, frequency of conducting national surveys should not be more than five years to avoid any problem in monitoring and evaluation of the reform goals due to low quality or unavailability of data. Since the achievement of the UHC goals by 2025 is a country commitment (38), the Islamic Republic of Iran urgently needs to strengthen the health information system in order to generate reliable data to monitor progress.

The application of the M&E framework not only provides a strong updated information system but also produces timely and high quality evidence for policy-makers. It can help in building capacity, empowering skilled human resources. In turn, this ensures a sustainable supply of logistics required to support the availability and quality of routine data, and supports linkages between academic and research institutions.

Conclusion

The designing of the M&E framework for the HTP sheds light on the importance of further Investment in the health information system. The framework and the indicators' list were guided by international priorities and designed in a way to be adaptable to the country context and the health sector development programme. The proposed M&E framework would facilitate successful M&E of both the HTP and UHC. An adequate measurement of the progress toward the UHC would require investment in the health information system. The development of the M&E framework indicators revealed that at least nine national household surveys were required to generate indicators for an effective monitoring of the HTP. The development of a guideline that specifies the frequency of the surveys along with the ways to coordinate these surveys can, thus, be very helpful in realizing the objectives of the framework. The formulation of the data access policy may increase the use of survey data in policy and practice. Some Important points are:

- Considering the HTP objectives, the M&E framework for the UHC is the most appropriate M&E framework;
- The designed M&E framework and the indicator list were not only adaptable to the country but also enabled the health system to benchmark progress toward the UHC at the global level;
- To monitor and evaluate the HTP, only half of the indicators could be captured at the end of first year of the HTP implementation. Some of the available indicators were not updated at the time of framework designing and no appropriate data sources were available for the rest.
- The proposed M&E framework can provide a proper path to implement the HTP at least for five years ahead
- Investment is required to strengthen the capacity of the information health system in order to generate high quality information for monitoring progress toward the UHC.
- The information gap hampering the monitoring of the progress towards the UHC should be addressed through regular and periodic surveys that capture all the dimensions of UHC.
- Applying the M&E framework can strengthen and manage the health information system properly, empower skilled human resources and support interaction between researchers and scientific centers.

Acknowledgments

Authors would like to thanks colleagues at the MoHME for reviewing the framework.

Funding: None.

Competing Interests: It should be noted that the authors of the article are working at the NIHR and have been actively involved in the evaluation of the HTP.

References

- 1. Giedion UA. Eduardo Andres; Diaz, Yadira. The impact of universal coverage schemes in the developing world: a review of the existing evidence, Universal Health Coverage (UNICO) studies series. Washington DC: World Bank; 2013.
- Boerma T, AbouZahr C, Evans D, Evans T. Monitoring intervention coverage in the context of universal health coverage. PLoS Med. 2014;11(9):e1001728. Epub 2014/09/23. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001728. PMID: 25243586; PMCID: PMCPmc4171108.
- 3. I.R.Iran's national scientific roadmap. Higher Council of Cultural Revolution Web site.

 (http://www.iranculture.org/fa/Default.aspx?current=viewDoc¤tID=736, accessed 10 December, 2011).
- 4. Iranian General Health Polices.

 (http://ird.behdasht.gov.ir/index.aspx?siteid=419&pageid=51060&newsview=106249, accessed 1 December 2015).
- Rajabi F, Esmailzadeh H, Rostamigooran N, Majdzadeh R, Doshmangir L. Future of Health Care Delivery in Iran, Opportunities and Threats. Iranian Journal of Public Health. 2013;42(Supple1):23-30. PMID: PMC3712596.
- World Bank. Islamic Republic of Iran Health Sector Review: Volume 1. Main Report. Washington DWB. Washington DC: World Bank; 2008 (http://hdl.handle.net/10986/7960, accessed 1 December 2015).
- Eastern Mediterranean Region. Framework for health information systems and core indicators for monitoring health situation and health system performance, 2015 [updated 2015]. (http://applications.emro.who.int/dsaf/EMROPUB_2015_EN_1904.pdf?ua=1, accessed 1 December 2015).
- 8. National Institute of Health Research. Islamic Republic of Iran. Universal Health Coverage in Iran. Vol First. Tehran, Iran: Gozineh Parsian Teb; 2015. (http://nihr.tums.ac.ir/wp-content/uploads/2015/08/uhc-book-edit1.pdf, accessed August 8, 2015).
- 9. Fazaeli AA, Seyedin H, Vosoogh Moghaddam A, Delavari A, Salimzadeh H, Varmazyar H, et al. Fairness of Financial Contribution in Iranian Health System: Trend Analysis of National Household Income and Expenditure, 2003-2010. Glob J Health Sci. 2015;7(5):260–5. http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/gjhs.v7n5p260
- 10. Hajizadeh M, Nghiem HS. Out-of-pocket expenditures for hospital care in Iran: who is at risk of incurring catastrophic payments? Int J Health Care Finance Econ. 2011;11(4):267–85. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10754-011-9099-1
- 11. Statistical center of Iran. Iran National Health Accounts, 2002-2008.

 (https://www.amar.org.ir/Portals/0/topics/sna/hesab_ salamat.pdf, accessed 21 August, 2015. Published 2011).

- 12. Kavosi Z, Keshtkaran A, Hayati R, Ravangard R, Khammarnia M. Household financial contribution to the health System in Shiraz, Iran in 2012. International journal of health policy and management. 2014;3(5):243-9. Epub 2014/10/23. https://doi.org/10.15171/ijhpm.2014.87. PMID: 25337598; PMCID: PMCPmc4204743.
- 13. Bagheri Lankarani K, Ghahramani S, Zakeri M, Joulaei H. Lessons Learned From National Health Accounts in Iran: Highlighted Evidence for Policymakers. Shiraz E-Med J. 2015;16(4):e27868. Epub 2015-04-23. https://doi.org/10.17795/semj27868.
- 14. Moradi-Lakeh M, Vosoogh-Moghaddam A. Health Sector Evolution Plan in Iran; Equity and Sustainability Concerns. International journal of health policy and management. 2015;4(10):637-40. Epub 2015/12/18. https://doi.org/10.15171/ijhpm.2015.160. PMID: 26673172; PMCID: PMCPmc4594102.
- 15. National Institute of Health Research. Islamic Republic of Iran. Monitoring of Health Sector Evolution Plan, Report 1. (http://nihr.tums.ac.ir/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/file3.pdf, accessed 28 January, 2016).
- 16. The Fifth national development program. Parliament of Islamic Republic of Iran Website. (http://parliran.ir/index.aspx?siteid=1&siteid=1&pageid=3362, accessed December 10, 2015).
- 17. National Institute of Health Research. Islamic Republic of Iran. Monitoring of Health Sector Evolution Plan, Reports 3. (http://nihr.tums.ac.ir/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/Satisfaction-3.pdf, accessed 28 January, 2016).
- 18. Monitoring Progress towards Universal Health Coverage at Country and Global Levels: A Framework. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013 (http://www.who.int/healthinfo/country_monitoring_evaluation/UHC_WBG_DiscussionPaper_ Dec2013.pdf).
- 19. Boerma T, Eozenou P, Evans D, Evans T, Kieny M-P, Wagstaff A. Monitoring Progress towards
 Universal Health Coverage at Country and Global Levels. PLoS Medicine. 2014;11(9):e1001731.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001731. PMID: PMC4171369.
- 20. World Health Organization. The world health report health systems financing: the path to universal coverage. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2010 (http://www.who.int/whr/en/index.html, accessed 29 April 2014).
- 21. Hosseinpoor AR, Bergen N, Koller T, Prasad A, Schlotheuber A, Valentine N, et al. [Equity-oriented monitoring in the context of universal health coverage]. Revista panamericana de salud publica = Pan. Am J Public Health. 2015;38(1):17–27. Epub 2015/10/28.
- 22. National Institute of Health Research. Islamic Republic of Iran. Utilization Health Survey, Report. (http://nihr.tums.ac.ir, accessed January 28, 2016).
- 23. National Institute of Health Research. Islamic Republic of Iran. Iran's Multiple Indicators

 Demographics and Health Survey, 2015 (http://nihr.tums.ac.ir, accessed 28 January, 2016).

- 24. Huda T, Khan JA, Ahsan KZ, Jamil K, El Arifeen S. Monitoring and evaluating progress towards
 Universal Health Coverage in Bangladesh. PLoS Med. 2014;11(9):e1001722. Epub 2014/09/23.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001722. PMID: 25244599; PMCID: PMCPmc4170958.
- 25. Barreto ML, Rasella D, Machado DB, Aquino R, Lima D, Garcia LP, et al. Monitoring and evaluating progress towards Universal Health Coverage in Brazil. PLoS Med. 2014;11(9):e1001692. Epub 2014/09/23. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001692. PMID: 25243676 PMCID: PMCPmc4171375.
- 26. Tangcharoensathien V, Limwattananon S, Patcharanarumol W, Thammatacharee J. Monitoring and evaluating progress towards Universal Health Coverage in Thailand. PLoS Med. 2014;11(9):e1001726. Epub 2014/09/23. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001726. PMID: 25243409; PMCID: PMCPmc4171094.
- 27. Mtei G, Makawia S, Masanja H. Monitoring and evaluating progress towards Universal Health Coverage in Tanzania. PLoS Med. 2014;11(9):e1001698. Epub 2014/09/23. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001698. PMID: 25244395; PMCID: PMCPmc4171093.
- 28. Alebachew A, Hatt L, Kukla M. Monitoring and evaluating progress towards Universal Health Coverage in Ethiopia. PLoS Med. 2014;11(9):e1001696. Epub 2014/09/23. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001696. PMID: 25244146; PMCID: PMCPmc4171462.
- 29. Meng Q, Xu L. Monitoring and evaluating progress towards Universal Health Coverage in China. PLoS Med. 2014;11(9):e1001694. Epub 2014/09/23. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001694. PMID: 25243903; PMCID: PMCPmc4170954.
- 30. Atun R, Aydin S, Chakraborty S, Sumer S, Aran M, Gurol I, et al. Universal health coverage in Turkey: enhancement of equity. Lancet (London, England). 2013;382(9886):65–99. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61051-X
- 31. Akhondzadeh S. Iranian science shows world's fastest growth: ranks 17th in science production in 2012. Avicenna journal of medical biotechnology. 2013;5(3):139. Epub 2013/08/07. PMID: 23919116; PMCID: PMCPmc3732862.
- 32. Motlagh ME, Heidarzadeh A, Hashemian H, Dosstdar M. Patterns of Care Seeking During Episodes of Childhood Diarrhea and its Relation to Preventive Care Patterns: National Integrated Monitoring and Evaluation Survey (IMES) of Family Health. Islamic Republic of Iran. International journal of preventive medicine. 2012;3(1):60-7. Epub 2012/02/23. PMID: 22355479; PMCID: PMCPmc3278871.
- 33. Ng M, Fullman N, Dieleman JL, Flaxman AD, Murray CJ, Lim SS. Effective coverage: a metric for monitoring Universal Health Coverage. PLoS Med. 2014;11(9):e1001730. Epub 2014/09/23. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001730. PMID: 25243780; PMCID: PMCPmc4171091.
- 34. National Institute of Health Research. Islamic Republic of Iran (http://nihr.tums.ac.ircpages/mainpage.asp?I=S1M5P2C1).

- 35. Lagomarsino G, Garabrant A, Adyas A, Muga R, Otoo N. Moving towards universal health coverage: health insurance reforms in nine developing countries in Africa and Asia. Lancet. 2012 09;380(9845):933–43. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61147-7
- 36. Yazdi-Feyzabadi V, Emami M, Mehrolhassani MH. Health Information System in Primary Health Care: The Challenges and Barriers from Local Providers' Perspective of an Area in Iran. International Journal of Preventive Medicine. 2015;6:57. https://doi.org/10.4103/2008-7802.160056. PMID: PMC4505398.
- 37. Quality dimensions, core values for OECD statistics and procedures for planning and evaluating statistical activities. Paris, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2003 (STD/QFS(2003)1; (http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/26/38/21687665.pdf, accessed 1 April 2010).
- 38. Comprehensive IR. Iran's health sector's science map. Ministry of Health & Medical Education Web site. (http://behdasht.gov.ir/index.aspx?siteid=1&siteid=1&pageid=130&newsview=10724, accessed December 28, 2016).
- 39. Statistical center of Iran. Income, Expenditure Household Survey.

 (http://amar.org.ir/english/Metadata/Statistical-Survey/Household-Expenditure-and-Income, accessed August 21, 2015).
- 40. O'Neill K, Takane M, Sheffel A, Abou-Zahr C, Boerma T. Monitoring service delivery for universal health coverage: the Service Availability and Readiness Assessment. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2013;91(12):923-31. Epub 2013/12/19. https://doi.org/10.2471/blt.12.116798. PMID: 24347731; PMCID: PMCPmc3845262.
- 41. Rashidian A, Karimi-Shahanjarini A, Khosravi A, Elahi E, Beheshtian M, Shakibazadeh E, et al. Iran's Multiple Indicator Demographic and Health Survey 2010: Study Protocol. International journal of Preventive Medicine. 2014;5(5):632-42. Epub 2014/06/17. PMID: 24932396; PMCID: PMCPmc4050685.
- 42. Ministry of Health and Medical Education. STEPwise approach to chronic disease risk factor surveillance. Available at: http://www.who.int/chp/steps/iran/en/, accessed 29 January, 2016).

Table 1- Data sources of the M&E indicators

Dimensions	Indicators	Data Platforms	Frequency	Responsible Agencies	Level	Availability
	Financing (8 indicators)	☑ Household Income and Expenditur e Survey (HIE);☑ National Health Account (NHA)	HIE: Annually since 1963NHA: Annually, Since 2000	■ NSC (National Statistics Center)	National, Urban/Rural, By wealth quintiles	Available
INPUTS	2. Infrastructure and health workforce (6 indicators)	 Services Availabili ty and Readines s Assessme nt Survey (SARA); Routine Informati on System (RHS); 	☑ SARA: has not been implemented yet	2 MOH&ME	National, Provincial,	SARA has NOT BEEN conduct yet; Data before HTP (2014) not available;

Table 1- Data sources of the M&E indicators

Dimensions	Indicators	Data	Frequency	Responsible Agencies	Level	Availability
		Platforms				
	3. Health	2 Services		☑ MOH&ME	National,	SARA has
	Information	Availabili	implemented yet		Provincial,	not
	System (2	ty and				conduct
	indicators)	Readines				yet
		S				
		Assessme				
		nt Survey				
		(SARA);				
		2 Routine				
		Informati				
		on				
		System				
		(RIS);				
	1. Access and	2 Utilization	2 UHS: 2003,	② NIHR;	National,	EM&V has
	Coverage of	Health	2008,2014 and	☑ NSC.	Urban/Rural,	not
	Health	Services	2014;		By wealth	conducted
	Services	Survey	② IrMIDHS: 1999,		quintiles	yet
	(Outpatient,	(UHS);	2010 and 2015;			
OUTPUTS	Inpatient and	2 Iranian	② EM&V: has not			
	primary	Multiple	been implemented			
	health care	Indicators	yet			
	services)	of				
	(15	Demograp				
	indicators)	hic and				
		Health				

Table 1- Data sources of the M&E indicators

Dimensions	Indicators	Data Platforms	Frequency	Responsible Agencies	Level	Availability
		Survey				
		(IrMIDHS);				
		Access to				
		Essential				
		Medicine				
		and				
		Vaccine				
		(EM&V):				
	2. Utilization	2 Utilization	② UHS: 2003,	② NIHR;	National,	Available
	of Health	Health	2008,2014 and	NSC.	Urban/Rural,	
	services (5	Services	2014;		By wealth	
	indicators)	Survey			quintiles	
		(UHS);				
	3. Service	2 Iranian	2 IrMIDHS: 1999,	② NIHR;	National,	Not
	quality and	Multiple	2010 and 2015;	☑ NSC;	Urban/Rural,	available
	safety	Indicators	RIS: annually.	☑ MoH&ME.	By wealth	for all
	(10	of			quintiles	indicators
	indicators)	Demograp				and levels
		hic and				
		Health				
		Survey				
		(IrMIDHS);				
		2 Routine				
		Informatio				

Table 1- Data sources of the M&E indicators

Dimensions	Indicators	Data Platforms	Frequency	Responsible Agencies	Level	Availability
		n System				
		(RIS);				
	1. Effective	2 Utilization	② UHS: 2003,	2 NIHR;	National,	Not
	Coverage of	Health	2008,2014 and	☑ MoH&ME	Urban/Rural,	available
	Services	Services	2014;		By wealth	for all
	(13	Survey	2 IrMIDHS: 1999,		quintiles	indicators
	indicators)	(UHS);	2010 and 2015;			and levels
		Iranian	STEPs: annually or			
		Multiple	biannually since			
		Indicators	2005			
		of				
OUTCOME		Demograp				
		hic and				
		Health				
		Survey				
		(IrMIDHS);				
		2 STEPwise				
		approach				
		to				
		surveillanc				
		e (STEPS);				
		2 Some				

Table 1- Data sources of the M&E indicators

Specific Studies (no determine d); 2. Health Risk	Dimensions	Indicators	Data Platforms	Frequency	Responsible Agencies	Level	Availability
			Studies (no determine				
(12 Services 2014; By wealth quintiles (UHS); 2010 and 2015; Iranian Multiple Indicators of Demograp hic and Health Survey (IrMIDHS); Routine Informatio n System (RIS);		Factors (12	Health Services Survey (UHS); Iranian Multiple Indicators of Demograp hic and Health Survey (IrMIDHS); Routine Informatio n System	2008,2014 and 2014; IrMIDHS: 1999, 2010 and 2015; STEPs: annually or biannually since	2 NIHR; 2 MoH&ME	Urban/Rural, By wealth	

Table 1- Data sources of the M&E indicators

Dimensions	Indicators	Data Platforms	Frequency	Responsible Agencies	Level	Availability
		Specific				
		Studies (no				
		determine				
		d);				
	1. Health	2 Iranian	2 IrMIDHS: 1999,	② NIHR;	National,	Not
	Status	Multiple	2010 and 2015;	☑ MoH&ME	Urban/Rural,	available
	(11	Indicators	STEPs: annually or		By wealth	for all
	indicators)	of	biannually since		quintiles	indicators
		Demograp	2005			and levels
		hic and				
		Health				
		Survey				
		(IrMIDHS);				
IMPACTS		2 Routine				
IIVIPACIS		Informatio				
		n System				
		(RIS);				
		STEPwise 				
		approach				
		to				
		surveillanc				
		e (STEPS);				
		☑ Some				
		Specific				
		Studies (no				

Table 1- Data sources of the M&E indicators

Dimensions	Indicators	Data	Frequency	Responsible Agencies	Level	Availability
		Platforms				
		determine				
		d);				
	2. Financial	2 Household	HIE: Annually since	☑ NSC	National,	Available
	Risk Protection (2	Income and	1990 (with new method)		Urban/Rural, By wealth	
	indicators)	Expenditur	method)		quintiles	
	maicators	e Survey			quintiles	
		(HIE);				
	3.	2 Utilization	2 UHS: 2003,	2 NIHR	National,	Not
	Satisfaction	Health	2008,2014 and		Urban/Rural,	available
	of Health	Services	2014;		By wealth	before HTP
	services (3	Survey	② IrMIDHS:		quintiles	
	indicators)	(UHS);				
		2 Patient and				
		provider's				
		satisfactio				
		n Survey;				

Table 2 Characteristics of the surveys required to obtain the M&E indicators

Study	Aim	Frequency	Consideration	Survey quality assessment evidences
National Health Accounts (NHA)	NHA provides answers to the following questions: 1) what is the total expenditure on health in a country? 2) Who pays for health care services? 3) How much is spent on health services? 4) How much is paid to different health service providers? (11)	Annually, Since 2000	National Statistics Centre of Iran is responsible body to conduct the survey	Not Defined
Household Income and Expenditure Survey (HIES)	The HIES aims to hand in estimates of the average income and expenditure for urban and rural households at provincial and country levels. To investigate the household health expenditures, the data relevant to inpatient and outpatient expenditures from 2012 to 2014 collected by households' Income and Expenditure Survey in rural and urban areas was analyzed by the NIHR (39).	Annually, Since 1990 (with new method)	National Statistics Centre of Iran is the responsible body to conduct the survey	Not Defined
Services Availability and Readiness Assessment (SARA)	The survey objective is to generate reliable and regular information on service delivery (such as the availability of key human and infrastructure resources), on the availability of basic equipment, basic amenities, essential medicines, and diagnostic capacities, and on the readiness of health facilities to provide basic health-care interventions relating to family planning, child health services, basic and comprehensive emergency obstetric care, HIV, TB, malaria, and non-communicable diseases (40).	Not Defined	National Institute of Health Research is the responsible body to conduct the survey	Not Defined

Utilization Health Survey (UHS)	The most important aims of the survey are: 1) To measure the need of the population for health services; 2)To find out what steps people take in receiving the health services; 3)To find out what	Quadrennial or triennial, Since 2002	It has been performed 4 rounds in Iran (2002, 2008, 2014 and 2015).	Not Defined
	type of services the households receive; 4)To determine how much time and expenses are spent on health services; 5)To address the extent of people's satisfaction with health services (22).			
Iranian Multiple Indicators of Demographic and Health (IrMIDHS)	The primary objectives of the IrMIDHS are: 1) To provide rigorous data on health and population at the national and provincial levels for assessing a range of social indicators and their influences on health, especially on children and women situation in Iran; 2) To provide data needed for monitoring progress toward the goals established in national plans and priorities and the MDGs; 3) To assist policy makers and program managers in designing effective strategies to promote health outcomes and equity in access to health care (41).	Quadrennial Since 2000	It has been performed 4 rounds in Iran (2000, 2010 and 2015)	Not Defined
STEPwise approach to surveillance of non- communicable diseases (STEPs)	The main aim of the survey are: 1) To provide national and provincial reliable and up- to- date information resources on risk factors to map prevalence, trend and distribution of disease; 2) To prepare a tool for evidence-based public health decision making with the ultimate aim of containing and reducing the emerging epidemic of noncommunicable diseases (NCDs), 3) To prepare a supportive infrastructure for NCDs preventive research; 4) To promote the level of knowledge,	Annually, since 2005	It has been done 7rounds in Iran (2005-2009, 2011 and 2015)	Not Defined

	reinforcement and enlargement of public health capacity in NCD prevention and PHC services (42).			
Surveys for determining the Effective Coverage of health services	To provide related effective coverage indicators, country should conduct several studies. The indicators include prevention, treatment, rehabilitation and palliation services based on global UHC framework recommendation (33).	Not Defined	Not Defined	Not Defined
Satisfaction Surveys	The measurement of patient experiences is an important component of health services evaluation.	Not Defined	Not Defined	Not Defined

Appendix A. Monitoring and Evaluation Framework of Iran's Health Transformation Plan: Towards Universal Health Coverage

INPUT

1. Financing

- Total Health Expenditure (THE) as% Gross
 Domestic Product (GDP)
- Total Health Budget as% Government Budget
 Total Health Expenditure (THE) per capita
- o General Government Health Expenditure per
- General Government Health Expenditure po
- Total Pharmaceutical Expenditure per Capita
- Fair Financial Contribution Index
- %Total Health Expenditure (THE) by Type of Financing Agents
- Out of Pocket Payment as %THE

2. Infrastructure and Health

--- - -

- o General Physician per 10,000 population
- o Specialist per 10,000 population
- o Paramedic per 10,000 population
- Nurses per Hospital Bed
- o Dentist per 10,000 population
- Hospital Bed per 10,000 population

3. Information System

- Number (%) of Health Facilities has Access to Computer with Email/Internet Access
- Number (%) of Hospital connect to the integrated national health information system for hospitals (HIS)

OUTPUT

4. Access

- Access to Health Services (Outpatient-Inpatient)/(Public-non-Public-Private) (Pre-Hospital Services)
- Access to Medicines and Medical Devices in Public Hospital
- Access to Essential Medicines (WHO Suggested
 Tiet)

5. Coverage

- o Contraceptive Prevalence Rate and Profiles
- o Insurance Coverage (Self-Reported)
- Receipt of Preventive Services (Pregnancy Care, Diabetes, Hypertension, TB, Immunization and Depression)
- Coverage of Exclusive Breastfeeding
- o Pentavalent Coverage, % children <1
- o Measles Coverage, % children <1
- Coverage of Preventive Cardiovascular Care for High Risk Group
- o Skilled Birth Attendance
- Suspected Pneumonia Treated with Antibiotic (Under 5 Children)
- Diarrhea Treated with Oral Rehydration Salts (ORS)- (Under 5 Children)
- o Condom use at Higher Risk Sex
- Coverage of Mammography (for 40-65 years old/Biennial)

6. Utilization

- Outpatient Visit per capita
- o Admission Rate per capita
- o Consumption of Medicine per Capita in Volume-Value (IP/OP)
- o OP/IP use Profile (Public/non-Public/Private)
- o Unmet Healthcare needs (OP/IP)

7. Safety & Quality

- Accredited Hospitals
- Success TB Treatment Rate
- o Smoking Cessation Rate
- o Case Fatality Rate in Hospital (Acute MI & Stroke)
- Waiting Time for Elective Surgery (Cataract-HIP/Knee Replacement)
- Quality of four ANC visits, % total
- Asthma (re) Admission Rate
- Adherence to Treatment by Guidelines-(Angiography, Angioplasty, Laboratory Tests, Cataract and Imaging)
- Number (%) of Normal Tests (MRI, Ct-Scan, Angiography, Angioplasty and Laboratory Tests
 Civil Registration (Death)

OUTCOME

8. Effective

- o Angina Treatment Coverage
- Hypertension Treatment Coverage
- o Diabetes Treatment Coverage
- Hyperlipidemia Treatment Coverage
- Mental Health: Depression Treatment Coverage
- Asthma/ COPD Treatment Coverage
- o Coverage of Renal Replacement Therapy
- o Arthritis Treatment Coverage
- Hearing Aid Coverage (Elderly who need a hearing aid)
- o Palliative Care Coverage
- Cataract Surgical Coverage
- Dental Care Coverage
- Cesarean/Section Rate

9. Risk Factors

- o Children under 5 who are Stunted
- Children under 5 who are Underweight
- o Children under 5 who are Overweight
- o Low Birth Weight
- o Improved Water
- Improved Sanitation
- Number of day with Qualified Air per year
- Percentage of the Population that is Overweight and Obese
- Current Alcohol Consumption
- Current Non-Tobacco Smoking
- Age-standardized mean Population Intake of Salt (Sodium Chloride) per day in grams in Persons Aged 18+
- Prevalence of Persons aged 18+) Consuming less than five total Servings (400g) of Fruit and Vegetable per day

IMPACT

10. Health Status

- Life Expectancy at Birth
- Maternal Mortality Ratio (100,000 Live Birth)
- o Under-5 Mortality Rate (1000 live birth)
- Neonatal Mortality Rate (1000 live Borth)
- Self-Reported Health Status
- Age-standardized Prevalence of Diabetes (based on HbA1c Levels), Hypertension, Cardiovascular Disease and Chronic Respiratory Disease
- o TB Case Detection Rate
- o Survival Rate of Cancer
- Survival Rate of End Stage Renal Disease with Replacement therapy
- Suicide Rate per 100,000 population
- Road Traffic Deaths per 100,000 population

11. Financial Risk

- o Catastrophic Health Expenditure
- Impoverishment Health Expenditure

12.

- Patient Satisfaction Rate
- Population Satisfaction (from Health Services) Rate
- Provider Satisfaction Rate