Abstract

Human health is intrinsically linked to the health of animals and to the environment, and efforts by just one sector alone cannot prevent or adequately address the complex problems at the human–animal–environment interface. Countries of the World Health Organization Eastern Mediterranean Region, as any other region, face the threat of emerging and remerging zoonoses. However, the challenges in this Region are high given the lack of resources, poor health systems, and political factors. Hence, adopting the One Health approach becomes urgent to assist those countries. Subsequently, based on analysis of One Health capacities in the Region and in close consultation with representatives and subject matter experts from countries in the Region, a framework for action towards effectively implementing the One Health approach was developed. The framework capitalizes on current opportunities in the region and provide countries with a list of practical key activities towards optimal use of their resources and strengthening their capabilities to tackle concurrent and future health challenges at the interface. Strong governance structures and building on existing mechanisms are crucial for achieving effective disease surveillance and response. Additionally, using intersectoral approaches for risk assessment and risk mitigation for health issues at the human–animal–environment interface can improve efficiency and result in more successful outcomes.
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**Introduction**

Emerging and endemic zoonotic diseases pose a threat to animal and human health and to global health security (1). It is estimated that zoonoses are responsible for 2.5 billion cases of human illness and 2.7 million deaths worldwide each year (2). The global economic burden due to zoonotic diseases is tremendous. According to the World Bank estimate, the economic losses from 6 major outbreaks of fatal zoonoses [Nipah virus (Malaysia), West Nile fever (United States of America; USA), severe acute respiratory syndrome (Asia, Canada and others), highly pathogenic avian influenza (Asia and Europe), bovine spongiform encephalitis (USA and United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland), Rift Valley fever (United Republic of Tanzania, Kenya, and Somalia) between 1997 and 2009 amounted to at least US$80 billion (3). The recent Ebola virus epidemic in West Africa is a stark reminder of the role animal reservoirs play in public health and reinforces the urgent need for a global One Health approach, as efforts by one sector alone cannot prevent or adequately address these complex problems at the human–animal–environment interface (2).

The One Health approach, according to the Tripartite Zoonoses Guide, means that all relevant sectors and disciplines across the human–animal–environment interface are involved in addressing health in a coordinated way that is more effective, efficient or sustainable than might be achieved if not all relevant sectors were engaged (4). This coordination/collaboration is
required to detect, assess and respond to both high-impact zoonotic disease events and endemic zoonoses caused by infectious organisms that know no boundaries, and whose impact on individuals and communities goes beyond the direct health outcomes to affect economies and societies as a whole (5). Over the years, the scope of One Health has extended to include food security, food safety, antimicrobial resistance and strengthening health systems (6–8).

The lack of surveillance data on emerging zoonoses in many developing countries, the fact that surveillance is mostly event based, and incomplete inventory of pathogens that exists in mammalian and other reservoirs have led to underestimation of their burden on humans, livestock and wildlife, and have limited the possibility of their control (9).

The World Health Organization (WHO) Eastern Mediterranean Region suffers from acute and chronic problems such as economic restrictions, conflict, civil war, social unrest, political instability, human migration and transboundary animal movement, which have had implications for emergence, control and management of zoonotic diseases such as avian influenza, brucellosis, rabies, Crimean–Congo haemorrhagic fever, Middle Eastern respiratory syndrome and transboundary animal diseases (10–13). Furthermore, lack of reliable data at national/regional levels due to absence of continuous systematic surveillance of zoonotic diseases, as well as lack of or weak intersectoral collaborations, policies, strategies and programmes, coupled with insufficient trainings for professionals in crucial technical areas have contributed to failure of control and management activities (14–16).

The purpose of this paper is to provide a brief explanation of the One Health operational framework, including its establishment, main components, road map activities, and key recommendations on how it could be implemented and adapted to each country’s context. Furthermore, we identify systems, mechanisms and practices to address and respond better to, endemic, emerging and re-emerging zoonotic diseases in a multisectoral manner.

**Methodology**

The One Health operational framework is built upon the findings of the International Health Regulation’s Joint External Evaluation (IHR-JEE) reports, National Action Plan for Health Security (NAPHS) and the IHR-PVS National Bridging Workshops (NBW). The JEE, NAPHS and NBW were conducted in 18, 12 and 3 countries, respectively, out of the 22 countries of the WHO Eastern Mediterranean Region. The performance of regional countries in zoonoses and other One Health-related technical areas were evaluated, gaps identified, and priority actions to address these gaps recommended (17–19). For instance, a skilled sufficient workforce in the animal health sector is not always available in most of the evaluated countries. This has an adverse effect on detection and response activities, and consequently, on the spread of
diseases. There is a persistent need for dedicating new staff and providing them with professional in-service training to create a culture of learning and constructive attitudes, and to build their potential to deal with any challenges at the human–animal–environment interface.

In view of the differences between the countries evaluated in terms of One Health operational capacity, high-priority activities based on gaps identified in the JEE reports, NAPHs and NBWs are proposed in this framework. Furthermore, an expert consultation meeting on One-Health Framework for Action was organized in December 2018 in Amman, Jordan. This included participation from representatives of the Ministries of Health and Ministries of Agriculture of 7 regional countries, WHO Regional Office for the Eastern Mediterranean, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE), national agencies, and academic and other partner institutions. Recommendations and inputs from the consultation meeting were incorporated into the document. In general, the framework basically capitalizes on current opportunities and gives direction for strategic investment in preparedness, detection and response to zoonotic diseases across relevant sectors at all levels.

**Framework components and roadmap activities**

In most evaluated countries there are capacities on the ground that pave the way and create an enabling environment for enhancing implementation of the One Health approach at the human–animal–environment interface. National committees for zoonoses, food safety and a joint response to zoonotic disease outbreaks are some examples of such capacities. However, there is still a lack of clear terms of reference for the assigned staff; sound coordination mechanisms; effective and timely information sharing among key stakeholders; and a real-time surveillance system for zoonotic diseases. These needs are addressed under this framework through several proposed priority activities grouped into 7 components that are essential for successful implementation of the One Health approach. These components include governance and management; networks and partnerships; One Health workforce development; surveillance preparedness and response; communication and advocacy; applied research; and monitoring and evaluation. They provide a systematic technical basis for countries in the development and implementation of the One Health approach for mitigating health issues of national concern.

WHO Member States are at different stages of implementing the One Health approach. Some countries already have a One Health committee or hub to undertake the responsibility of implementation but need guidance on how this forum can be optimally functional. Other countries still lag behind and do not have clear ideas on how they can start towards having a robust One Health implementation on the ground. Therefore, there is flexibility in activity planning and target setting to meet national requirements. This framework includes a list of roadmap activities under each of the 7 components (Appendix 1). However, countries are encouraged to modify and adapt it in accordance with their needs to develop realistic, achievable and effective plans.
The way forward

The expert meeting in Amman discussed how to roll out the One Health framework for implementation in the WHO Eastern Mediterranean Region. Despite previous and current international, regional and national efforts to implement a One Health approach, challenges are still holding back progress, such as: the need to work across many different disciplines; administrative barriers; lack of trained and skilled personnel, timely provision of resources, and accredited diagnostic laboratories; understanding the structure and management of existing systems; development of adequate science-based risk-mitigation strategies; lack of agreement on leadership issues; and task distribution among partners (2, 20). Accordingly, the framework components have been tailored carefully to assist countries to overcome such challenges. For countries where there are no plans addressing One Health activities, country representatives agreed that there is a need to develop a national operational plan based on this framework in close consultation with national stakeholders and using available One Health bodies/initiatives. Then, it has to be advocated and endorsed by ministries of health, ministries of agriculture (veterinary authorities) and other relevant ministries/sectors to support implementation of identified multisectoral activities. For countries that have plans in place, they can use this framework to enhance their existing operational plans with key activities, such as risk assessment, prioritization of zoonotic diseases, preparedness and response activities, assessment of existing capacities, identifying research priorities, and coordination with partners. Participants in the expert meeting acknowledged the added value of the framework as a guiding document for applying the One Health approach in a systematic way, and as a justification for contacting senior officials/ministers to allocate resources for activities. FAO and OIE requested the framework to be shared with their regional offices so that they can disseminate it to countries through their channels.

The Region is committed to continue providing technical support for regional countries to set up a multisectoral collaboration and coordination mechanism among their professionals in the human health, animal health and environmental sectors, and help countries to develop national plans in order to meet their obligations under the International Health Regulations (2005). Moreover, the Region will organize and facilitate technical expert meetings among countries to share lessons learned, and to facilitate sharing of inter-regional experience.
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La santé humaine est intrinsèquement liée à la santé des animaux et à l'environnement, et les efforts d'un seul secteur ne peuvent prévenir ou résoudre de manière adéquate les problèmes complexes à l'interface homme-animal-environnement. Les pays de la Région OMS de la Méditerranée orientale, comme toute autre région, sont confrontés à la menace des zoonoses émergentes et réémergentes. Toutefois, les défis dans cette Région sont importants étant donné la pénurie de ressources, la faiblesse des systèmes de santé et les facteurs politiques. Il devient donc urgent d'adopter l’approche

WHO EMRO | One Health operational framework for action for the Eastern Mediterranean Region, focusing on zoonotic diseases

**References**


8. Schelling E, Wyss K, Bechir M, Moto DD, Zinsstag J. Synergy between public health and veterinary services to deliver human and animal health interventions in rural low income
PMID:16308393


10. Di Nardo A, Knowles NJ, Paton DJ. Combining livestock trade patterns with
phylogenetics to help understand the spread of foot and mouth disease in sub-Saharan Africa,
http://dx.doi.org/10.20506/rst.30.1.2022 PMID:21809754


12. Memish ZA, Al-Rabeeah AA. Public health management of mass gatherings: the Saudi
Arabian experience with MERS-CoV. Bull World Health Organ. 2013 Dec 1;91(12):899–899A.
http://dx.doi.org/10.2471/BLT.13.132266 PMID:24347725

13. Nowotny N, Kolodziejek J. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV)
http://dx.doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.es2014.19.16.20781 PMID:24786259

14. Dyer O. Infectious diseases increase in Iraq as public health service deteriorates. BMJ.

trends in human cases 2001-2010 and characterisation of animal rabies strains from Baghdad.
PMID:23469303

16. Seimenis A. Capacity building for zoonotic and foodborne diseases in the Mediterranean
and Middle East regions (an intersectoral WHO/MZCP proposed strategy). Int J Antimicrob
PMID:20708385

17. Strengthening health security by implementing the International Health Regulations
(https://www.who.int/ihr/procedures/mission-reports-eastern-mediterranean/en/, accessed 4
April 2020).

Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018
uence=1, accessed 4 April 2020).

Bridging Workshop [website] (https://extranet.who.int/sph/ihr-pvs-bridging-workshop, accessed
4 April 2020).

20. Rushton J, Häslar B, De Haan N, Rushton R. Economic benefits or drivers of a ‘One
http://dx.doi.org/10.4102/ojvr.v79i2.461 PMID:23327381

Monday 21st of September 2020 10:02:19 AM