Abstract

Background: Raising the prices of cigarettes is a common intervention to control tobacco use. In June 2017, Saudi Arabia imposed a 100% excise tax on tobacco products and energy drinks.

Aims: This study aimed to evaluate the impact of the increase in prices on tobacco products and the resulting cigarette smoking behaviour in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia before and after the increase in tobacco product prices.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted between December 2017 and March 2018 in Saudi Arabian smokers aged 18 years and more. A validated questionnaire was distributed to a convenience sample in public places and through Twitter. The McNemar matched pairs chi-squared test was used to evaluate the self-reported difference in cigarette smoking before and after the tax came into effect. Binary logistic regression analysis was done to identify the socioeconomic and health factors associated with stopping smoking.
Results: In all, 376 participants (80.0% men) completed the questionnaire. A large proportion of the participants (39.6%) reported no change in their smoking behaviour after the tax was imposed, whereas 29.8% switched to cheaper brands. Before the tax, 154 participants smoked 15 cigarettes or more a day; this figure decreased to 134 after the tax (McNemar test, P

Conclusion: The sharp increase in cigarette prices in Saudi Arabia has led to a statistically significant reduction in smoking. Future research should assess the long-term effects of this intervention on smoking onset, prevalence and relapse.
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Introduction

Smoking tobacco products is one of the biggest global threats to health. It is a major cause of death as it contributes to many types of cancers and other diseases that affect various body systems including the cardiovascular, pulmonary, skeletal, endocrine, digestive and reproductive systems (1). The length of exposure to tobacco products determines how rapidly these diseases develop and how severe they become (2).

About one billion people in the world are estimated to smoke tobacco products (3). In 2013, a nationally representative study was conducted to assess tobacco consumption in Saudi Arabia,
which included 10,735 males and females aged 15 years or older (4). The study showed that about 16.0% of Saudi Arabians had smoked tobacco in their lifetime and 12.2% were current smokers. Furthermore, among current smokers, 74.1% smoked an average of 15.1 cigarettes a day. In addition, 1.4% smoked both cigarettes and the waterpipe on a daily basis (4).

Many interventions have been implemented throughout the world to eliminate smoking. A common intervention to control smoking is to raise the price of tobacco products. A study in the United States of America on the effect of taxes and smoking bans in bars and restaurants on daily and non-daily smoking between 2001 and 2011 suggested that these measures were associated with a reduction in smoking, especially when they were combined (5). Furthermore, taxation had a stronger inverse association with daily smoking than with non-daily smoking (5). A study in men in Japan on smoking cessation attempts after an increase in the tobacco tax found that this increase was a strong motivator for trying to stop smoking in those with medium nicotine dependence according to the Fagerström test for cigarette dependence (a scores of 4–6), odds ratio (OR) = 1.44, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.09–1.90 (6).

In Saudi Arabia, the deaths of 71 men and 21 women were attributed to tobacco consumption every week in 2013 (7). Smoking is also an economic burden for Saudi Arabia. Between 2001 and 2010, tobacco consumption was estimated to cost the country about US$ 20.5 billion because of the cost of premature deaths and the direct and indirect costs of morbidity (8). According to the latest available data, tobacco control programmes cost the government US$ 4.8 million a year (9). The government of Saudi Arabia has implemented many measures over the years to reduce smoking in the population. For example, a national tobacco control programme was established in 2002 by the Ministry of Health (10). This programme aims to combat smoking in the different groups of the population by providing scientific (research and evidence gathering) and advisory services. Moreover, the programme supervises more than 70 clinics across the country that help people who want to give up smoking (11). The programme also plays an important role in developing new measures to control the use of tobacco products (11).

In June 2017, Saudi Arabia imposed a 100% tax on tobacco products (including cigarettes and waterpipe tobacco) and energy drinks (12). An opinion survey by one of the most popular Arab news websites (Sabq.org), showed that 45% of the participants thought the tax would discourage smoking to a limited extent, while 61% of those who reported smoking 10–20 cigarettes a day thought that it would not affect their smoking habits at all (13).

To the best of our knowledge, no study has investigated the effects of the 2017 tax on tobacco products on the cigarette consumption of adult smokers in Saudi Arabia. We assumed that this
tax would reduce cigarette smoking in the country. We therefore aimed to evaluate the effect of this tax on cigarette smoking behaviour in Saudi Arabians in the city of Jeddah and to identify the socioeconomic and health factors associated with stopping smoking.

**Methods**

This cross-sectional study was conducted between December 2017 and March 2018, 6 months after the government imposed the tax on tobacco products. The population consisted of all Saudi Arabian adults (≥ 18 years old), men and women, who lived in Jeddah and had started smoking before June 2017.

A questionnaire was developed in Arabic to evaluate the cigarette smoking behaviour of smokers before and after the increase in the price of cigarettes as a result of the tax, and to identify the sociodemographic factors associated with stopping smoking. The questionnaire had two main parts. The first part asked for sociodemographic information about the participants including: city of residence, sex, age, marital status, education, general health status as perceived by the participant, employment and monthly income. The second part asked about smoking status before and after the increased price of cigarettes. The options for modifications in smoking habits after the tax were: reduced the number of cigarettes smoked a day, or switched to cheaper smoking method or cigarette brand. The second part also measured the current and previous (up to June 2017) number of cigarettes smoked a day and the frequency of smoking (daily or not) and if the participant had tried to stop smoking after the increase in prices.

To test the extent to which the study questionnaire could address the research objectives, the questions were reviewed for face validity by specialist clinicians in epidemiology and public health and medical educational staff at the College of Medicine of King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences in Jeddah. The questions were modified accordingly and these questions were tested in a small pilot survey of 35 respondents drawn from the same population of the study. The pilot survey assessed the clarity and understandability of the questions and only minor changes to the wording of a few questions were made. To ensure the reliability of the questionnaire (the degree to which responses are consistent over time), a test and re-test method was used. We distributed the questionnaire to 35 respondents and their answers were recorded. Two weeks later, the same respondents again completed the questionnaire. The correlation coefficient between the two sets of responses was 0.875, which indicates a high degree of correlation and consistency between the responses at the two different times. The questionnaire was distributed by hand in public places (e.g. shopping malls and the Corniche) in Jeddah by three of the coauthors. It was also distributed through a few Twitter accounts of public figures from Jeddah. Twitter was used to ensure that we reached groups not available in public places and to minimize the gap between male and female respondents because there is segregation between the sexes in Saudi Arabia in public places and Twitter can help overcome
Because prevalence studies of smokers in Jeddah are lacking, we assumed that the target population was 20,000. Using the sample size calculator from the Raosoft website (Raosoft®, Inc.), with 95% confidence intervals, a 5% margin of error and a 50% presumed response distribution, the required sample size was calculated to be 377.

Data analysis

Data management and analysis were done using the SPSS, version 23.0.0.0. Descriptive statistics were used (frequencies and percentages) for categorical variables, a chi-squared test of McNemar matched pairs was used to test significant differences in cigarette consumption before and after the increase in cigarette prices. In addition, a binary logistic regression analysis was used to identify the socioeconomic and health correlates of the decision to stop cigarette smoking after the prices were raised.

Ethical considerations

Ethical approval to conduct the study was obtained from King Abdullah International Medical Research Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Results

Baseline characteristics

A total of 376 adults took part in the study and completed the survey; 301 (80.1%) were men (Table 1). Almost half of the respondents (49.2%) were aged between 18 and 29 years and had never been married (49.5%). Most of the respondents (81.4%) described their health status as excellent. About two thirds of the respondents (67.0%) were college graduates or had a postgraduate degree and 102 (27.1%) were students (high school or university). Most of the respondents (60.1%), were employed.

Cigarette smoking status evaluation

Table 2 summarizes the cigarette consumption of the male and female respondents. About 40% of the participants reported no change in their smoking behaviour after the increase in cigarette prices, whereas about 30% switched to a cheaper cigarette brand. Of the whole sample, 174 (46.3%) participants had previously tried to stop smoking before the increase in prices in 2017, while 135 (35.9%) tried to quit in the 6 months after the price increase. A slightly greater percentage of females (48.0%) than males (37.5%) reported no change in their smoking
behaviour after the increase in prices. In addition, fewer females than males attempted to stop smoking before the price increase (29.3% versus 50.5% respectively) or after it (24.0% versus 38.9%). Before the increase in cigarette prices, 167 (44.4%) respondents reported that they smoked 15 cigarettes or more a day, while 113 (30.1%) reported that they smoked fewer than 15 cigarettes a day. After the price increase, these figures were 138 (36.7%) and 126 (33.5%) respectively.

Reduction in cigarette smoking

Table 3 shows the results of the McNemar test for matched pairs of cigarette consumption which indicated a significant difference in cigarette consumption in the study population before and after the tax was imposed ($\chi^2 = 22.2$, $P$ value

Factors associated with changes in smoking behaviour

Table 4 shows the results of binary logistic regression where the dependent variable was stopping cigarette smoking in the 6 months after the tax was imposed. Sex, age, and education were not significantly associated with the decision to stop smoking after the tax was imposed. In contrast, married respondents were more likely to stop smoking after the tax (OR = 3.24, 95% CI: 1.15–6.97) compared with those who were never married. In addition, respondents with self-reported fair health status were more likely to stop smoking after the tax came into effect (OR = 2.96, 95% CI: 1.32–5.24) compared with those who reported an excellent health status. Unemployed respondents were more likely to stop smoking after the tax (OR = 3.36, 95% CI: 1.15–9.71) compared with students. Compared with respondents with a monthly income of

Discussion

In June 2017, the Saudi Arabian government doubled the price of tobacco products as a means to control smoking in the country. In this study, we aimed to assess the effect of this tax on the smoking behaviour among cigarette smokers in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

Before the 2017 tax, the Saudi Arabia government had taken many steps to control and prevent smoking. For example, in 2005, Saudi Arabia adopted the World Health Organization Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (14). By December 2016, the total taxes imposed on the most popular tobacco brand were 33.3% for cigarettes and 40% for waterpipes (9). Despite that, according to a survey conducted in 1995, prices were not a concern to smokers in Saudi Arabia (15). We found that imposing a 100% tax on tobacco products was significantly associated with a reduction in cigarette smoking. This is consistent with the literature, where increasing cigarettes prices has been proven to be an effective intervention to reduce smoking (16–20).
Although the relationship between smoking cessation and socioeconomic factors has been studied in depth in many cultures (21–26), few studies on the factors affecting the decision to stop smoking have been conducted on Saudi Arabians. Our results showed that several factors were significantly associated with an increased willingness to stop smoking after the tax was imposed.

First, marital status was a statistically significant predictor of smoking reduction and cessation after the tax. Those who were married were three times more likely to quit smoking than those who had never married. This is consistent with another study in Saudi Arabia where being single was strongly associated with smoking (27). However, another study in male Saudi Arabian college students reported that marital status was not a predictor of the willingness to stop smoking (28). This difference can be attributed the fact that the latter study consisted of single students, making the assessment of the effect of marital status inappropriate. Second, respondents who reported their general health status as fair were about three time more likely to stop smoking after the tax than those who considered their health to be excellent. The combined effect of these factors (marriage and suboptimal self-perceived health status) together with the financial strain caused by the tax could be the reason for the increased willingness to quit smoking.

Unemployment was also significantly associated with stopping smoking. Research in other countries has shown a high prevalence of smoking among unemployed people (29,30). Price increases of tobacco products might provide this group with a valuable chance to reduce and stop smoking.

Interestingly, respondents with a higher monthly income (between 15 0001 and 25 000 Saudi riyals) were more likely to stop smoking than individuals with a low monthly income. Other studies have shown that people with a lower income tend to be more or equally responsive to increases in cigarette prices (31,32). This difference might be because switching to lower priced brands was the most common behavioural change among smokers in our sample. However, a longer follow-up of a nationally representative sample of smokers might help explore the long-term effects of the tax on those with lower income and explain why they were less sensitive to it in the first 6 months of its implementation.

Limitations

Our study has some limitations. First, the convenience sampling limits the generalization of results to the whole Saudi Arabian population. Second, the study sample was over-represented...
by educated participants and, hence, the results should be interpreted with caution. Third, our data on smoking were self-reported by the participants, which is subject to recall bias and social desirability bias – participants may not have been truthful about their smoking because of the traditional and conservative society in Saudi Arabia. Fourth, our study was limited to the city of Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. For that reason, a more comprehensive study is needed to assess the overall effect of the tax on different groups of smokers in all of Saudi Arabia.

**Conclusion**

In summary, the sharp increase in cigarette prices in Saudi Arabia has led to a statistically significant reduction in smoking. The most common behaviour was switching to lower priced brands. Respondents who were married, unemployed, with higher income, or with fair self-reported health status were more likely to stop smoking after the tax was imposed. Future research should be directed to assessing the long-term effect of this intervention in terms of smoking onset, prevalence and relapse. Furthermore, other methods of assessing response to tobacco control interventions such as cigarette sales data and non-invasive biochemical measures (e.g. exhaled breath carbon monoxide) are more reliable ways to assess the effect of the tax on smoking behaviour.
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**Comportement tabagique après l'entrée en vigueur d'une taxe de 100 % sur les produits du tabac en Arabie saoudite : étude transversale**

**Résumé**

**Contexte**: La hausse du prix des cigarettes est une mesure couramment mise en place dans la lutte antitabac. En juin 2017, l'Arabie saoudite a imposé un droit d'accise de 100 % sur les produits du tabac et les boissons énergisantes.

**Objectif**: La présente étude avait pour objectif d'évaluer l'impact de la hausse des prix des produits du tabac et le comportement tabagique qui en résulte à Djeddah (Arabie saoudite) avant et après cette augmentation des prix.
Méthodes: Il s'agissait d'une étude transversale qui a été menée entre décembre 2017 et mars 2018 auprès de fumeurs saoudiens de 18 ans et plus. Un questionnaire validé a été distribué à un échantillon de commodité dans les lieux publics et sur Twitter. Le test $\chi^2$ de McNemar pour les paires concordantes a été utilisé pour évaluer le changement de comportement en matière de tabagisme par cigarettes avant et après l'entrée en vigueur de la taxe. L'analyse de régression logistique binaire a été utilisée pour identifier les facteurs socio-économiques et sanitaires associés au sevrage tabagique.

Résultats: Au total, 376 participants (80 % d'hommes) ont rempli le questionnaire. Une proportion importante des participants (39,6 %) n'a rapporté aucun changement dans leur comportement tabagique après l'application de la taxe, tandis que 29,8 % des participants se sont tournés vers des marques moins chères. Avant l'entrée en vigueur de la taxe, 154 participants fumaient plus de 15 cigarettes par jour ; ce nombre est passé à 134 suite à l'imposition de la taxe ($p$