Universal health coverage (UHC) and the health-related Sustainable Development Goals (SGDs) cannot be achieved without the appropriate measurement and monitoring mechanisms (1). At the global level, extensive attention is given to mechanisms that focus on measuring and reporting the status of SDG indicators, to help in shaping global priorities, and to steer political will and leverage for action at the national level. National decision-making, however, goes beyond accepting UHC and the SGDs as targets: it should also include specific policies that improve access to health services and health outcomes. The importance of such detail has been well documented before, including in the case of a major global policy for child health (2).

Unfortunately, most global comparisons and the summary indices developed from them are not sensitive enough to national policy change and may not help countries in this direction (3). It is hard to imagine that the phenomenal country successes witnessed in the post-Alma Ata primary health care implementation era would have been as successful as they were, if they had relied on global estimation and reporting processes as sources of data (4). The pivotal role of collecting data on a small set of locally-relevant and policy-oriented indicators, using the paper-based approaches of the time, in the success of primary health care implementation plans is well documented (5). The same is true today; countries cannot reach UHC objectives...
and the SDGs by relying only on international comparisons and estimation processes.

National health information systems that respond to national needs and are efficient are required. Such national systems provide the locally-relevant information from routine sources (e.g. civil registration and vital statistics systems and health care facilities), as well as from household surveys and census surveys, that is required for national planning and monitoring of implementation. The results framework of WHO’s Thirteenth General Programme of Work, 2019–2023, is intended as an overarching approach to data and information for health (6). It is a WHO commitment that its work with countries, alongside other United Nations agencies and partners, results in improved health outcomes (7). The framework includes a set of key indicators that complements the SDG agenda, with a further focus on the triple objectives of improving UHC, enhancing safety and increasing population health (7). Alongside this, it includes a core set of activities to improve national data systems so that globally comparable data are valid, timely and reliable, while countries benefit from the availability of the information needed for national and subnational planning, policy implementation and monitoring of health outcomes and equity objectives (8).

In countries of the Eastern Mediterranean Region, WHO work in this area is within the domains outlined in Table 1. The core health indicators agenda (including the health-related SGD indicators) (9), reflects the global approach to regular reporting of comparable data from countries. The regional civil registration and vital statistics systems agenda is a key initiative in response to the many limitations of the current systems in most countries of the Region, and is focused on timely and complete registration of deaths, and accurate certification of the cause of death (10). Regarding surveys, WHO supported the conduct of its first pilot of the new World Health Survey approach (WHS+) in 2016 in Tunisia and a model national survey plan has been developed for use by countries. This was a response to the observation that in many countries surveys were done as a response to global or donor requests rather than national needs, and that many surveys with considerable overlap were conducted too close to each other, while several years might pass with no national household survey undertaken in the country.

In terms of routine health information system development, regional initiatives have been developed to assess national health information systems (followed by strategy development), and a programme of capacity-building for countries in need of District Health Information Software 2 (DHIS-2) package implementation has been carried out (11). Recently, the agenda has been expanded to include the Primary Health Care Measurement and Improvement Initiative (PHCMI), and a similar approach is being developed for hospital information system support (12). Following the release of the 11th Revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-11), extensive work has been undertaken to support its adoption in countries as the basic standard for data reporting on mortality and morbidity. This work is now being expanded to encompass the International Classification of Health Interventions (ICHI) due to be
released in 2020, and technical support is being provided to ensure that all health-related data systems are based on these global approaches and WHO-sanctioned standards for health data.

While the Region faces many structural limitations on national data improvement, these programmes that are based on strong national political commitment and country demand have resulted in key improvements to data systems and coverage (13). A few countries of the Region are experiencing emergencies that negatively affect their national systems for health data, while massively increasing the need for data generation. While data systems for emergency settings are beyond the scope of this short article, they are an important part of WHO’s work in this area (14). In the end, these initiatives are as successful as the commitment given to them on the ground. Health information systems and the data generated by the estimation process have important comparative value, but their best use is when they can equip policy-makers and managers with the evidence and knowledge they need for decision-making (15). This is the goal that we are striving towards.
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