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Abstract

Background: The payment system is pivotal in implementing policies in the health sector. Equitable access to healthcare is the main principle of the payment system.

Aims: This study aimed to investigate aspects of the payment system in the urban family physician programme (FPP) in the Islamic Republic of Iran.

Methods: This was a qualitative study. We obtained data from key informants and both formal and grey literature. We used content analysis for data analysis.
Results: A range of concepts was explored related to the payment system of the FPP. By merging similar expressions, we categorized the findings into four main themes including: payment method, payment criteria and incentives, payment process and amount of payment.

Conclusions: FPP is required to follow convenient implementation methods. The mechanisms of payment in the health sector are weak and have no transparency. A blurred combination of criteria makes an unclear process for determining the payment mechanisms. It is recommended that the opinions of key stakeholders be taken into consideration prior to developing payment mechanisms and financial incentives.
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Introduction

Establishment of the family medicine programme (FMP) on the basis of an appropriate referral system is one of the main strategies to increase access and improve efficiency of healthcare systems globally (1,2). Payment mechanisms and financial incentives to reimburse family physicians (FPs) play a major role in improving the quality of healthcare services. Hence, well-designed applications are required to measure and allocate such incentives for improving practices (3). Moreover, the financial incentives have a major role in the specialty that medical students choose in the future (4).
Current payment methods worldwide include fee for service (FFS), salary, capitation, pay for performance (PFP), and some other mixed methods; each with its strengths and weaknesses. Payment methods affect both provider and purchaser of the services (5). Capitation means that the number of people who refer to health centres is the main factor for payment. In other words, just visiting the patients is a measure for payment without any attention to results of treatment (6). Capitation may foster effectiveness of healthcare services through proper mechanisms to select patients.

FFS may increase the quality of provided services, while increasing the cost (7). FFS is the main mechanism for payment in public hospitals. Some believe that FFS prevents low-quality services, and patients may access optimal treatments (8). This method is applied in the United States of America (USA) but it has not been successful and has resulted in high costs (9).

Salary is a continuous way to reimburse health workers and professionals. It is known to decrease the level of relationship between the physician’s income and type of services offered to patients, which could affect service quality.

PFP is a common method in primary health care (PHC) network, by which practitioners are paid on the basis of their achievements. There is a lack of evidence for the relationship between payment methods and the quality of health services (10). Hence, mixing and matching and switching among payment methods in health systems are common to meet health policy goals (11), and many health systems prefer to apply a mix of payment methods to avoid extra costs (12).

There is little information about payment systems for FMP in the Islamic Republic of Iran. Therefore, this study aimed to identify an appropriate payment system for the urban family physician programme (FPP) in the Islamic Republic of Iran.

Payment mechanisms involve some methods to transfer funds from a buyer, that is, the government, insurance company, or patient, to healthcare providers, namely, individual physicians or institutes (13). The health systems in different countries have applied diverse payment methods. For instance, while FFS is the dominant method in Australia, PFP has been used to improve the quality and outcome of health services since 1998 (14). Similarly, FFS is often used for reimbursement of 90% of PHC physicians in the USA (15). FFS as well as the...
state fee schedules are applied in Canada (16). Similarly, FFS was executed in 2008 in Ghana, where no standard fee schedules were in place (17). In contrast, France has a national social insurance system that pays a fixed fee per each registered patient to doctors (18). In Turkey, all physicians receive salaries and bonuses, while physicians who work in deprived areas may receive an additional reward (19). These experiences suggest that there are different approaches in health payment systems globally. The most common payment methods are outlined by Quinn (12).

The aim to enhance universal access to health care services led to establishment of the PHC network in the Islamic Republic of Iran in 1984 (20). Community health workers and general practitioners are the main members of PHC system. Especially in rural areas, the PHC has a critical role in delivering services to people (21,22).

PHC has contributed to significant improvement of many health indicators: child and maternal mortality, life expectancy and control of infectious diseases in the Islamic Republic of Iran (23,24). Some of the most important health index improvements are shown in Table 1.

Similar to health systems in many developing countries, the Iranian system has faced several emerging problems, with major causes of death being noncommunicable diseases and traffic accidents (23). In addition, the quality and efficiency of healthcare services have been questioned for not meeting the requirements of demographic transition, public expectations and changes in disease patterns (13). To increase equitable access to health services of people residing in villages and small towns of

This study aimed to investigate aspects of the payment system in the urban FPP of the health system in the Islamic Republic of Iran.

**Methods**

**Study design**

This was a qualitative study using three main data sources: interviewing nine key informants, reviewing literature and searching national documents, and national web sites. The study was conducted from December 2012 to November 2013, when national expansion of the FPP in the Islamic Republic of Iran was announced.

**Sampling and data collection**

We selected key informants that had direct participation in PHC management and development
of the FPP payment system. We conducted face-to-face interviews with nine key informants from MoHME, two medical universities, insurance companies, and three FPs. All interviews were conducted in the interviewees’ offices by L.D. using a semistructured guide. We asked questions about current payment mechanisms and the views of the interviewees about these mechanisms. All interviews were recorded and transcribed. The average time of the interviews was 50 minutes. Because of the limited number of managers who were informed about the FPP payment system, we only interviewed nine people. In other words, purposive sampling was applied to identify the key informants, who had valuable experiences about the FMP.


The main data collection tool was a researcher-made form that functioned as our document analysis worksheet. In addition, we used other document-based data sources including: legislative laws, administrative/executive regulations, payment procedures, guidelines, reviews, reports, policy statements, and minutes of meetings and documents related to focus groups and round table discussions from organizations, such as MoHME, Iranian Parliament, Iranian Medical Association and health insurance corporations. Urban FPP was the subject of major national debate at the time of data collection, which led to collection of a large volume of data. After removing the duplicates, we gathered 728 documents, which were classified based on their type. We designed some tables and then inserted the related news and statements in the tables. In this phase two authors (L.D. and P.D.) extracted the appropriate date from documents and H.M. checked and controlled the extracted data.

**Data analysis**

All the documents and transcribed interviews were imported to MAXQDA version 10 and were coded. The data were analysed through qualitative content analysis (25). The analysis included reading the documents and transcripts several times and then coding them inductively and deductively. Two authors (L.D. and H.M.) coded the data, and discussed them in continuous sessions and A.R. rechecked the themes. Finally, the themes and concepts were finalized according to the suggestions of the research team members.

**Ethical consideration**
We obtained verbal consent from interviewees and ensured confidential and anonymous data collection, analysis and reporting. The researchers tried their best to be neutral during all phases of the study. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences and registered in the Knowledge Utilization Research Center (Registration Code: 19704).

Results

We identified four main themes that are considered as pillars in developing an appropriate payment system for the FPP in the Islamic Republic of Iran: payment method, payment criteria and incentives, payment process and amount of payment.

Payment method

We revealed lack of consensus among various stakeholders on the current FPP payment methods in rural Islamic Republic of Iran. Some participants suggested that payment should be based on capitation, while others stated that FFS and PFP are appropriate ways to pay service providers. Some interviewees preferred salary and bonus as the most appropriate payment methods in the implementation of the FPP. Some participants stated that salaries guaranteed a monthly income for doctors and other health team members. Others pointed out that it was imperative to have additional payments per capita for some special tasks, such as diagnosis, treatment and surveillance of target diseases. Several interviewees highlighted the importance of designing a payment system based on mixed payment methods, including a combination of capitation, FFS and bonus.

According to participants, 40% of the payment to FPs was based on their performance. This led to 80–120% variation in payment to FPs according to their assessment scores. Some participants mentioned the benefits of the mixed payment and considered it the best type of payment system worldwide. Participants who endorsed mixed payment methods expressed their concern about physicians who worked in day clinics and were paid a salary or capitation, while those who worked in night clinics were paid based on FFS.

Payment criteria and incentives

Development of measures to determine the payment criteria was an important factor to avoid unhealthy competition among physicians. Various criteria are used for reimbursement of FPs, such as size of population covered, career, length of residence in a city, and age and sex of the patients referred. Moreover, payments were higher for covering elderly patients and pregnant women, as well as working in poor and deprived regions. Another issue was FPs being penalized; for instance, if they were unable to register 2500 people, their income would be reduced. This led some participants to criticize the existing criteria for paying FPs in some
regions and to call for more realistic payment models.

Midwives are part of the family medicine team. The FPP has failed to employ midwives, as they were forced to conduct activities such as pharmaceutical services, injections, dressings and other inappropriate services. In addition, insufficient income was another major barrier to attracting midwives to join the FPP.

**Amount of payment**

Amount of payment was regarded as a critical factor for developing an appropriate culture and increasing public interest in FPPs. Some interviewees stated that FPs were important in developing a referral culture in the Islamic Republic of Iran. Some participants believed that measures should be taken to avoid the significant income difference between the members of the health team (e.g., general physicians and specialists), while PFP could be implemented to incentivize good practice. The current payment system considered an annual increase of 1% for up to 20 years of experience. Also, in the first 5 years of implementing the FPP, 1–3% will be added to the payment of physicians. For practices that have registered critical populations, that is, people aged > 60 years, children aged

Some participants expressed major concerns about the amount of per capita payment to FPs, branding it low as well as unfair. In addition to direct costs of running their practices, such as living accommodation, human resources, equipment and administration, FPs were also responsible for other costs that were not included in their compensation, such as differential cost of premises in the city, as well as hidden costs (due to the nature of the FPP), such as travel for personnel to meetings, and follow-up through telephone contact.

Some participants claimed that implementation of the FPP was a means to generate income for FPs. In other words, discrimination in the salary payment system or inequitable payment to various groups of physicians, resulted in planning for the FPP, as a new way to increasing the income of some physicians. However, this claim requires more evaluation.

**Process of payment**

To motivate the health team members to deliver health services, the FPP has scheduled PFP. This means that 80% of the salary is fixed, while the remaining 20% is based on evaluation score. In addition, an extra 20% incentive is considered for each physician who covers a high proportion of children aged 60 years.
Some participants were concerned about the payment inconsistency among various levels of the FPP. In other words, they believed that physicians received different payments at each level and in the private and public sectors.

Discussion

In 2013, MoHME created the opportunity for launching the FMP in the Islamic Republic of Iran to increase equitable access to health services. Undoubtedly, the payment system has an important role in providing the appropriate health services. The experiences of FPP in other countries show a wide range of parallel reforms to bring the cost of health services down considerably (26,27).

Payment systems have to adapt to a hierarchy of policy priorities and practical financial considerations to meet the goals of equitable access and affordable health services. In other words, selected payment systems and applied incentives should be coordinated with the major goals of the health system and also improve the clinical knowledge of the population and their cultural level, and implementation of ethical principles (28).

This study shed light on the perceptions of some key decision makers in the Islamic Republic of Iran about payment arrangements in the FPP. Our findings suggest four major concepts about the payment system: payment method, payment criteria and incentives, payment process and amount of payment. We found no definite consensus about the suitable methods of payment to FPs. Hence, attention needs to be given to the impact of various payment techniques on physicians’ performance. Although most participants pointed out the emphasis on capitation, others mentioned the use of FFS as well as performance-based methods. It seems that the lack of a clear definition on how to pay FPs was the main reason for such incompatibility.

While salary payment was highlighted by the FPP policy, the real payment was, as few interviewees envisaged, based on the number of delivered healthcare services; namely, an FFS system. Some participants identified the capitation method as the main payment system in the FPP, and bonuses were paid to enhance quality and reduce physicians’ reluctance to provide services. Nevertheless, some pointed out that none of the payment systems could actually compensate the complicated and important functions of FPs (29). This is why many countries that have implemented FPP have consequently used mixed payment methods that include salary, capitation, bonuses and FFS. Some advantages of this mixed payment system are motivating physicians, preventing unnecessary visits, and improving the quality of care and diagnosis (13).
Determining the payment criteria is another major concept. For example, measures such as the possibility of studying in a specialty field and receiving more bonuses are considered motivational for the physicians. Therefore, the payment may decrease if the physicians cannot preserve and maintain the assigned population, which may result in physicians’ dissatisfaction (30). Delayed payments and low levels of bonuses are also regarded as reasons for physicians’ dissatisfaction (31). One of the strengths of targeted payments is to encourage physicians to meet the desired standards of care and population health. Otherwise, it is likely targeted payments may act as a disincentive (11). Some participants identified that the payment rates of the FPP were inappropriate regardless of appropriate methods of payment. They believed that payments were too low in relation to the physicians’ workload, which resulted in physicians’ dissatisfaction. In addition, midwives as important members of the health team had to carry many inappropriate tasks, which led to their dissatisfaction, along with their unsatisfactory pay levels (24).

Lack of guidelines was one of the main problems in determining the payment rate among various service providers. For example, while accurate criteria were available to determine pay level for FPs who received higher payments, other members of the health teams often received low wages that were not appropriate for their workload and responsibilities. Continuous and successful implementation of the FPP may therefore require a fair approach financially and nonfinancially to all healthcare providers in the team.

Our findings also indicated that the payments did not occur in a single consolidated step, but in several stages and after evaluating the performance of the health team members. The staging of payments was seen as an instrument to motivate health team members. Some studies have suggested that it is better to apply a value-based system to compensate the physicians. Such an approach can improve the long-term performance of physicians (32).

The generalization of our results is subject to certain limitations. Although we tried to conduct a comprehensive search of the subject in relevant public electronic media, it is likely that some information was overlooked. The interpretations of the research team may be different from what was intended by the participants. The researchers could not discuss the issues with some participants who were interviewed by the media; this was addressed by interviewing other participants in the field. Nonetheless, our study was validated in three ways. First, different data sources were used, including documents, literature, media news and interviews, which allowed for a high triangulation (33). Second, respondents from different organizations were interviewed. Third, codes and themes were developed and reviewed by all members of the research team as a check on bias.

**Conclusion**
Although implementing an FPP is a major step to improve public health and equal access to health services in urban areas, our study shows that the Iranian health system has started on the road of a difficult journey. Moreover, it seems that the mechanisms of payment in the health sector are weak and have no transparency. A blurred combination of criteria makes unclear the process for determining payment in the health system. Hence, it is recommended that the political and professional opinions of key stakeholders should be taken into consideration prior to developing appropriate payment mechanisms and financial incentives for the FPP.
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Programme de médecins de famille. En fusionnant les expressions semblables, nous avons réparti les résultats selon quatre thèmes principaux : les modes de paiement, les critères de paiement et les incitations, les processus de paiement et le montant des paiements.

Conclusions: Les Programmes de médecins de famille ont l'obligation de suivre des méthodes de mise en œuvre accessibles. Il semble que les mécanismes de paiement dans le secteur de la santé soient peu performants et manquent de transparence. Un mélange confus de critères donne lieu à un processus imprécis qui ne permet pas de déterminer le modèle de paiement. Il est recommandé de prendre en compte les avis des principaux intervenants avant d'élaborer des mécanismes de paiement et des incitations financières.
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