Annual Update

National Documentation for Certification of Poliomyelitis Eradication

Name of Country:	
Year:	
Submitted to WHO/EMRO on:	

Note: This document is for submission of annual updates by the National Certification Committees (NCCs) of countries which have submitted a national report that has been reviewed and accepted as adequate by the Regional Certification Commission (RCC) for Polio Eradication.

Eastern Mediterranean Region World Health Organization Cairo, Egypt

COMPOSITION OF THE NATIONAL CERTIFICATION COMMITTEE:

Have	e there been any	change	s in the composition of the National Certification Committee?
Yes		No	
-			and title or position of each new member as well as each outgoing
mem	ber during the i	eporting	g period:
New	member/s		
	1. Name:		
	~		
	2. Name:		
	Position:		
	3. Name:		
	Position:		
Outg	going member/	S	
	1. Name:		
	Position:		
	2. Name:		
	Position:		
	3. Name:		
	Position:		
	Provide dates	of the N	NCC meetings since the last meeting of the RCC:
			National Certification Committee (NCC) meetings should be tof the Regional Certification Commission (RCC) for Polio
	Eradication.		
	Date of	submiss	sion of undate:

Part 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Item (1)

The Executive Summary should include:

- a) A summary of the method of work of the NCC during the period under review;
- b) The main findings of the NCC which have convinced them of the continued polio free status of the country;
- c) Concerns about gaps in all kinds of supports (human, financial, administrative, managerial, and operational) needed to maintain the polio free status;
- d) Additional relevant information that could have an impact on the process of poliomyelitis eradication;
- e) Assessment of the risks or risk factors resulting from possible polio virus importation in the country; and
- f) Conclusions and recommendations to the RCC.

The Executive Summary should be signed by NCC members at least by the chairman

Part 2. RESPONSE TO COMMENTS OF THE RCC ON THE PREVIOUS REPORT

<u>Item (2)</u>

Please attach a copy of the comments of the Regional Certification Commission on the previously submitted report and the response of the national EPI/Polio Eradication programme and NCC.

Please present your response to this item in the form of a table, given below, with 3 columns:

Item No.	RCC Comments	Response of the National Programme

PART 3. PERFORMANCE OF AFP SURVEILLANCE

Routine reporting of AFP cases from health facilities during the

<u>Item (3)</u>

	YEAR				
			Comple	teness of Routine Re	norting
Year Reporting Frequency	Number of Reporting sites	Number reports expected *	Number reports received	% reports received	
	Weekly		_		
	Biweekly				
	Monthly				
	Other				
	Total		x reporting frequen		
	<u>1 (4)</u> Additiona		or poor performing a		
4a)	Completeness				

<u>Item (5)</u> Active surveillance (Regular visits to health care facilities and sentinel sites to search for AFP cases)

Year	Reporting Frequency	Number of Active	Completeness of Active Surveillance Visits			
		Surveillance Sites	Number of visits	Number of visits	% of visits	
		Surveillance Sites	expected *	conducted	conducted	
	Daily					
	Weekly					
	Bimonthly					
	Monthly					
	Total					

	Monthly				
	Total				
* N	Sumber of active	surveillance sites x r	number of visits in 1	year (i.e. if weekly,	periods =52
Co	mments / Explan	nations in particular f	or poor active surve	illance areas, etc, if a	any
<u>Ite</u>	<u>m (6)</u> Additio	onal comments on A	FP active surveilla	nce visits with resp	ect to
6a)	Completeness	1			
6b)	Timeliness				

<u>Item (7)</u> Performance of AFP Surveillance, by first administrative level for the

VEAD	
YEAR	

1 st Administrative Level (State, Province, or Governorate)	Population aged <15 yrs	Total 'non- polio' AFP cases reported <15 yrs	Non-polio AFP rate*	Total AFP cases with 2 adequate stool samples	% AFP cases with adequate stool samples

^{*} per 100,000 population aged less than 15 years

7 a) AFP Performance by 1 st Administrative Level (e.g. state, governorate	te or province
---	----------------

Please attach the following

- i) a map showing the non-polio AFP rate for the year under review, with an explanation of any 'blind areas' (i.e. geographic areas with a low rate)
- ii) a spot map showing the distribution of AFP cases with adequate stool specimens for the year under review, with an explanation of any 'blind areas' where very few or no stool specimens have been collected

Item (8) Independent review / assessment of AFP surveillance

	oid an independent review / assessment of the national AFP surveillance system took place during the last 2 years
	Yes No
- I	f yes kindly attach the Executive Summary of the review including its recommendation and,
- S	pecify steps being or were already undertaken in response to the independent review assessment recommendations.

Part 4. CLASSIFICATION / FINAL DIAGNOSIS OF AFP CASES

Item (9) Summary of AFP Case Classification

Year	Total number of AFP cases	Number discarded as non-polio AFP	Number classified as polio compatible	Number classified as VAPP	Number not classified*

^{*} For each case not yet classified, provide the reason for the delay

Item (10) Summary of the final diagnosis of AFP cases discarded as non-polio

Year	Data by	GBS	Transverse Myelitis	Traumatic neuritis	VAPP	Other diagnoses (please specify and attach list)	Unknown	Total AFP Cases discarded as non-polio
	Number							
	Percentage (%)							

10.a) GBS rate per 100,000 under 15 years of age =	:
--	---

10.b) Final diagnosis of those classified as "Others":

Diagnosis	Number of cases

Item (11) Summary of AFP Case Classification by the National Expert Group

		AFP cases re National Ex	No. of AFP cases with inadequate specimens		
Year	Total	Polio	VAPP	Discarded	NOT reviewed by the
		Compatible			Expert Group*

^{*} Please provide the reasons for each case

Item (12) Cases reviewed by the National Expert Group (NEG) for the year under review

The National programme should at minimum refer to the NEG all cases with inadequate stools and residual paralysis, lost for follow-up or died. It is also recommended to refer all cases of inadequate stools and 5-10% of AFP cases discarded by the programme. If the total number of AFP cases is small (less than 20) they should **ALL** be referred to the NEG

Please attach a line listing of the AFP cases reviewed and classified by the NEG (Use Table 12)

Minutes of the NEG meetings should be available if requested by the RCC

Item (12) Line list of cases* reviewed and classified by the National Expert Group

YEAR	
------	--

	AFP Case Findings										Stool Specimens			5	Contact sampling of inadequate AFP cases		NEG Decision		
#	ID Number	Age in month	Onset Date	OPV Doses	Reason Reviewed	Fever at Onset	Asym Paral.	Rapid Progression of Paralysis <4 days	Other Investigs.	Para (60 d	days w-up)	Total No.	No. Adequate	NPEV (Y/N) & typing	Probable Clinical Diagnosis	Y/N	If (Y) then No. with results	Compatible	Discarded
1																			
2																			
3																			
4																			
5																			
6																			
7																			
8																			
9																			
10															_			-	

<u>Item (13)</u> Summary of Vaccine Derived Polio Virus (VDPV) isolated in the year under review

	# isolated				Sourc	ee		Date of	
Type	P1	P2	P3	AFP	Healthy	Carrage	Othor	last	Comments
				АГР	Child	Sewage	Other	isolate	
cVDPV*									
iVDPV**									
aVDPV***									

* cVDPV(Circulating): > 1 paralytic case with isolation of related but non-

identical viruses

** iVDPV (Immunodeficient): immunodeficiency and long-term excretion of the virus

from the same patient

*** aVDPV (Ambiguous): Clinical epidemiological & virological data insufficient

for definitive assignment (single isolate with no immune

deficiency or environmental source without cases)

<u>Item (14)</u> AFP cases diagnosed as Compatible during the year under review

ID Code	Summary of actions taken in response to compatible case/s (Field investigations, immunization activities and Conclusion) (please attach additional details, if needed)

If a clusture of compatibles was identified, please specify date of last case								

Item (15) Spot map of Polio VDPVs and Compatible Cases

Please attach a spot map showing the geographical location of all polio VDPVs and compatible cases for the year under review (at least one year)

Part 5. SUPPLEMENTARY SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES

<u>Item (16)</u>	The details of all supplementary sur as attachments to the update		SIA) should be provided
16a)	Was a stool survey conducted?	Yes	No
	If yes, please provide details on metho	odology and results	
16b)	Was environmental surveillance cond	ucted? Yes	No
	If yes, please provide details on method	odology and results	
16c)	Was retroactive/active case/s search u	ndertaken? Yes	No
	If yes, please provide details on method	odology and results	

Part 6. WILD POLIOVIRUS IMPORTATION

	f yes, for e and provide	ach introduct details on:	tion ple	ase us	e a separ	rate sheet, s	upplement	ed by	maps ar	nd tables,	
-	How was i	t discovered?									
8	n) Probable	e dates of imp	ortation	n/s							
ŀ) Populati	ons and areas	affecte	ed							
C	 Dura Num Num	and extent on the antion of viral of the aber of polio of the aber of wild vertically extensive the aber of wild were aphic extensive and the aber of	circulat cases re irus iso	ion (da lated to lates re	tes of first the impelated to t	ortation		es)			
Ċ	d) Known	or probable so	ource								
ϵ	e) Transmi	ssion links ar	nd virus	sequei	nce data						
f	• In su	e activities un rveillance: list plementary in	st any s	upplen	•						
		of immunizate of immunizate of the control of the c				iovirus im _ļ	portation/s	. (Yo	u can a	dd more	
				1 st	Round	_	1st Round	2 nd	Round		2 ^{nc}
Date/s of identification	Location of outbreak or importation	Geographic area included in response	Target age group	Date	Vaccine Type *	1 st Round number immunized	coverage by Finger Marking	Date	Vaccine Type *	2 nd Round number immunized	Rou cover by Fir Mark
	OPV Type ** If applica	e (tOPV / bOl	PV / mO	OPV)							

<u>Item (18)</u>	Has the National Plan of Action for Preparedness for wild poliovirus importation been updated during the year under review?									
	Yes No									
If updated	l please attach a copy									
	Have there been any steps taken to register monovalent and bivalent vaccines ced by prequalified producers? Please specify									

Part 7. UPDATE ON 'HIGH-RISK' POPULATIONS/AREAS

<u>Item (19)</u> List known special population groups or areas at high-risk for poliovirus introduction or circulation

Total	Quality of Surveil		OPV	SIA	Comments on quality / any
Population under 15 years	NPAFP rate	Adequacy %	Coverage	Coverage	epidemiologic change
	Tate	70			

Please add more information, if any

Part 8. LABORATORY ACTIVITIES FOR POLIO ERADICATION

<u>Item (20)</u>	Which laboratory served as the national laboratory for primary poliovirus isolation and identification?						
<u>Item (21)</u>	Were all polio isolates, regardless as to source*, sent to a WHO accredited laboratory for intratypic differentiation (ITD)? Yes No						
	if no, please explain which isolates were not sent and why:						
	plates from non-AFP sources (e.g. contact stools, environmental samples, etc) must bmitted for intra-typic differentiation.						

Item (22) Stool specimens received or sent and processed for polioviruses isolation

		Total stools from	Total stools from	Other*	sto	teness of ool n analysis	Total other** specimens	Completeness of other Specimen analysis	
	Year	AFP cases	AFP contacts	received	Processed	Not Processed	received	Processed	Not Processed
ſ									

^{*} Other stool specimens such as stool from surveys or from cases other than AFP cases and their contacts (e.g. Aseptic meningitis)

<u>Item (23)</u> Other specimens processed by the lab in search for polioviruses (sewage samples)*

No. sent	No.	No Results	Intratyp	oic differenti	ation (ITD) re	sults
to lab	processed	available	Sabin Like	Wild	Mix W+SL	VDPV
	_		_			

^{*} If applicable

^{**} Other specimens: samples and clinical specimens other than stools

<u>Item (24)</u> Summary of polioviruses isolated and processed for intratypic differentiation (Please include data for the country only)

	Total			No. of isolates	Intratypic differentiation (ITD) results				
Year	polioviruses isolated	Source of Poliovirus isolates		sent for Intratypic Differentiation	Sabin like	Wild	Mixed W+SL	**VDPV	
		AFP cases	#						
		Other (specify *)	#						

	AFP cases	#					
	Other (specify *)	#					
Specify 'O	ther' sources of poli	iovirus isol:	ates:	<u>'</u>			
specify O	inci sources of pon	10 v 11 u 5 15016	atcs				-
_							
* specify se	rotype and classification	ation e.g. c	VDPV type 1,2 o	or 3			
	**		• •				
(25)		(*) (1		4 4 • 19	• ee 4 •	4.	
tem (25) N	Name(s) of laborato	ory(ies) tha	nt performed int	tratypic di	ifferentia	tion	
tem (25) N	Name(s) of laborate	ory(ies) tha	nt performed int	tratypic di	ifferentia	tion	
<u>tem (25)</u> N	Name(s) of laborate	ory(ies) tha	nt performed int	tratypic di	ifferentia	tion	
<u>tem (25)</u> N	Name(s) of laborate	ory(ies) tha	nt performed int	tratypic di	ifferentia	tion	
<u>tem (25)</u> N	Name(s) of laborate	ory(ies) tha	nt performed int	tratypic di	ifferentia	tion	
tem (25) N	Name(s) of laborate	ory(ies) tha	nt performed int	tratypic di	ifferentia	tion	
							IO
	Name(s) of laborate the laboratory(ies)						IO:
							IO:
5.a) Is/are	the laboratory(ies)) performin					IO:
5.a) Is/are) performin					Ю
5.a) Is/are	the laboratory(ies)) performin					Ю
5.a) Is/are	the laboratory(ies)) performin					IO ⁹
5.a) Is/are Yes	the laboratory(ies)No	performin	ng intratypic dif	ferentiation	n accredit	ted by WH	
5.a) Is/are Yes	the laboratory(ies)	performin	ng intratypic dif	ferentiation	n accredit	ted by WH	
5.a) Is/are Yes Please	the laboratory(ies) No specify virus isolat	performin	ng intratypic dif	ferentiation	n accredit	ted by WH	
25.a) Is/are Yes Please	the laboratory(ies)No	performin	ng intratypic dif	ferentiation	n accredit	ted by WH	

<u>Item (26)</u> For countries with a national polio laboratory, please enter data of last WHO Accreditation review

Date last accredited	Score of onsite review	Proficiency test score (%)	NPEV isolation rate (%)	Annual No. of specimens processed	Correct polio typing result (%)	Results reported on time (%)	Fully accredited (yes / no)

UPDATE ON CONATAINMENT OF POLIOVIRUSES AND Part 9. POTENTIAL INFECTIOUS MATERIAL Item (27) Has a national survey of laboratories been completed in order to identify all those laboratories in the country with wild poliovirus and/or potentially infectious material? Yes _____ No ____ Item (28) If "No", then provide the current status of the survey and indicating when it is expected to be completed Item (29) If "Yes", then has a national inventory of laboratories holding wild poliovirus or potentially infectious material been established? 29a) Number of laboratories with ONLY wild poliovirus material 29b) Number of laboratories with ONLY potentially infectious material 29c) Number of laboratories with both types of material Item (30) Name and address of laboratories wishing to retain the materials and indicate the bio-safety level of each laboratory Item (31) Names and address of laboratories wishing to destroy the material

<u>Item (32)</u>	similar information about where the material will be transferred
	Has a quality assurance assessment report of activities under Phase 1 of the Inventory and Survey of Laboratory Containment of Wild Poliovirus and Potential Infectious Material been carried out?
	Yes No
	(If yes, please attach a copy of the report)

Part 10. ROUTINE POLIO IMMUNIZATION COVERAGE

<u>Item (34)</u>		dministrative Le	Coverage of infants with polio vaccine (OPV3 or else vel: i.e. state, province, or governorate, for the year
YEAR: _			
	Immı	ınization polio v	accine (OPV3 or else) Coverage (%)
1 st . Admin	n. Level	% Coverage	Remarks
<u>Item (35)</u>		map showing the during the year	ne districts which had less than 80% routine OPV3 under review
<u>Item (36)</u>		-	ge in the type of vaccine used in SIAs/routine schedule during the year under review?
	Yes	No	
	If yes, pl	ease specify this o	change

Part 11. SUPPLEMENTARY IMMUNIZATION ACTIVITIES FOR POLIO ERADICATION

<u>Item (37)</u>	Specify any supplementary immunization activities (SIA) conducted for polio
	eradication during the year under review

a)	National OPV Immunization Days (NIDs):	Yes / No
b)	Sub-national OPV Immunization Days (SNIDs):	Yes / No
c)	'Mopping-up' activities with OPV:	Yes / No
d)	Other (specify):	

Item (38) Summary of ALL National and Sub-national supplementary OPV immunization activities (SIAs such as NIDs, SNIDs, Mopping up and Other e.g. response to cVDPV ... etc) during the year under review

Туре	Target	No. of	1 st F	Round	1st Round	1st Round	2 nd I	Round	2 nd Round	2 nd Round
of SIA	age group	children targeted	Date	Vaccine Type *	coverage by (%)	coverage by Finger Marking**	Date	Vaccine Type *	coverage by (%)	coverage by Finger Marking**

^{*} OPV Type (tOPV / bOPV / mOPV)

Item (39) SIA Coverage

- a) Please attach a table with the SIA coverage by $\mathbf{1}^{st}$ administrative level (i.e. province, state, etc.) for each campaign round
- b) Please attach a map showing the districts which had less than 80% coverage during any one of the rounds during the period under review

<u>Item (40)</u>	If 'Mopping up was conducted during the year under review, please state
	the criteria used for deciding the areas to be included in 'Mopping-up'
	activities

a) _	
b) _	
c) _	
d)	

^{**} If applicable

Item (41) Summary of 'Mopping-up' activities during the year under review

Reason for 'Mopping-up'	Geographic Area Included	Age Group	Target Pop. Size	Date 1 st round	Date 2 nd round	Number immunized 1 st round	Number immunized 2 nd round

Item (42) Detailed description of 'Mopping-up' activities

Please provide details of 'mopping-up' activities, (map of areas included, the number of households visited, the average number of children immunized per household visited). If active case search was conducted at the same time, please provide details

Part 12. IMMUNITY PROFILE

Item (43) Please draw the profile for the last 5 years obtained from the number of polio vaccine doses received by the non polio AFP cases 6-59 months in the form of a bar chart in which the number of doses are categories to 4 categories: 0 doses, 1-3 doses, 4-6 doses and 7 doses and over

Should the number of AFP cases 6-59 months be ten or more, please make two profiles one for cases aged 6-23 months and the other for cases aged 6-59 months.