

Table 3. Variables associated with choosing PPIUCD at initial visit and choosing PPIUCD after consultation with male partner: binomial logistic regression (n=470)

Model	B	Odds Ratio	95% CI	P value
Women choosing PPIUCD as PPC (original decision)				
Socioeconomic status	1.038	2.822	1.361-5.853	0.005
Upper	-0.094	0.910	0.556-1.490	0.005
Middle				0.708

325

Research article

EMHJ – Vol. 25 No. 5 – 2019

Table 3. Variables associated with choosing PPIUCD at initial visit and choosing PPIUCD after consultation with male partner: binomial logistic regression (n=470)5 (concluded)

Model	B	Odds Ratio	95% CI	P value
Lower(reference)				
Educational status	-0.679	0.507	0.186-1.379	0.002
Illiterate	-1.288	0.276	0.142-0.536	0.183
Primary	-0.896	0.408	0.223-0.748	<0.001
Secondary				0.004
Higher(reference)				
Occupational status (employed)	1.368	3.928	2.344-6.582	<0.001
Parity (<4)	0.957	2.604	1.373-4.936	0.003
Women choosing PPIUCD after male partner consultation (final decision)				
Occupational status (employed)	0.681	1.977	1.081-3.615	0.027
Educational status	-1.157	0.315	0.094-1.048	0.004
Illiterate	-1.049	0.350	0.172-0.712	0.060
Primary	-1.227	0.293	0.148-0.581	0.004
Secondary				<0.001
Higher(reference)				

Predictive variables: age range (20-25 years:1/ 26-30 years:2/ 30-35 years:3/ 35-40 years:0); educational status[Illiterate (Never went to school):1/ Primary (Class1-5):2/ Secondary (Class 6-Matric):3/ Higher (Intermediate to graduation):0]; socioeconomic status lower class (monthly income ≤ 10 000 rupees):0/ middle class (monthly income 10 000-40 000 rupees) 1/upper class (monthly income > 40 000 rupees) 2] occupational status(employed:1/employed:0); Parity range(<4:1/>4:0) ; expected duration to next delivery(< 3 years:1/>3 years:0)