Table 3 Assessme Author (Ref)	nt of research priority set Study design, tools & techniques	tting activities according to c Stakeholders	hecklist i tems desig Transparency	med by researchers Upstream documents	Appeal & publicizing	Vulnerable group	Criteria
Kolahi (56)	COHRED	Scientists managers, politicians, private sector,	Guidelines	NA	NA	NA	Appropriateness, relevance, chance of
Emami (31)	Participatory research, FGD	students, organization, community, industry Community	NA	NA	NA	NA	success, impact of research result
Karimi (4)	ENHR, workshops, interviews, literature	Scientists, policy-makers, Health-care providers,	Training programme	IBTO duties, IBTO core process, institutional	Newsletter	NA	ENHR criteria
Aminoroaia (32)	Cross-sectional, descriptive study questionnaire, delphi me thod	Scientists, healthcare providers	NA	strategic planning NA	NA	NA	Relevance in novation, feasibility, magnitude etc.
Kolahi (33)	COHRED, workshop, brain storming, FGDs, Delphi	Scientists, students, healthcare providers, community	Workshop	NA NA	NA NA	NA	Relevance, appropriateness, chance of success, impact of research
Owlia (35)	ENHR strategy workshop	Scientists, managers, healthcare providers	Training	NA	NA	NA	result.  Appropriateness, magnitude, prevalence, urgency, feasibility, acceptability
Kolahi (36)	COHRED Brain storming, FGD, Delphi, voting	Scientists, managers, politicians, private sector, students, community, underprivileged people,	NA	Deputy of research & technology strategic plan	NA	Nursing home	Relevance, appropriateness chance of success, economic justification, impact of
Farsar (46)	HSR, COHRED, Brain storming, FGD, Delphi, NGT, Voting	Politidans, scientists, private sector students, organizations, underprivileged people,	Workshop	Strategic plan	NA	NA	research result  Relevance, appropriateness, chance of success, time justification and impact
Kolahi (49)	COHRED, Delphi, brainstorming, FGD,	industry, community Scientists, mangers, community, healthcare	Meeting	Strategic plan	NA NA	NA	of research result  COHRED criteria
Po urh ossein i	NGT, voting, weighting  Documentary study and	providers  Managers, scientists,	NA	Development plan,	NA	NA	Relevance, applica bility,
(13)	content analysis method, checklist and questionnaire	representation of board of trustees		scientific plan, strategic plan			appropriate ness, impact of research result, ethical acceptance, political acceptance, economic justification
Damari (43)	COHRED (small module) questionnaire	Scientists, authorities, managers, community healthcare providers	NA	Strategic plan, 4th development plan, the statute of organization, main social security laws	Just mentione d	Representative of workers union	Relevance, appropriateness, chance of success, impact of research result
Tootoonchi (48)	Descriptive study, Delphi, brainstorming, workshop, questionnaires, expert panel, numerical scale	Scientists, managers, Authorities, students,	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Kha điv'i (40)	Participatory research, rapid appraisal, Interview, FGD,	Commun'ty, authorities, trustees	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA .
Yasini (51)	observation COHRED questionnaire, FGD,	Community, trustees, scientists, NGOs, managers,	Priority setting guidelines, training	NA	NA	Contribution of elderly people, women and	Just mentioned that used some criteria
Majidi (52)	workshop, Action research, Likert scale, go zone, expert	providers  Scientists, policy makers, health care providers	Training workshop	Globocan 2012, guidelines on	NA	youth NA	Importance, feasibility
Bahadori (53)	panel  Mixed and cross-sectional	Managers	NA .	management of cervical precancerous lesions NA	NA NA	NA NA	Time justification,
Haghdoost (54)	study, interview and questionnaire Brainstorm session,	Scientists	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	align with organ zational goals, feasibility, applicability
Sohrabi (37)	questionnaire COHRED, brain storming, FGD, Delphi, NGT, voting	Scientists, healthcare providers, funders, students, community	Training workshop	Strategic plan	NA	NA	Relevance, appropriateness, chance of success, impact of research result
Bahadori (39)	AHP interview, FGD	Managers	NA	NA	NA	NA	Cost-benefit, time justification, acceptability
Rae'is'i (50)	Participatory research & rapid appraisal interview & FGD	Community	NA	NA	NA	Women, elderly andyouth people contribution	NA NA
Ravaghi (41)	Delphi questionnaire, FGD	Managers, authorities, scientists	NA	World Health Organization research	NA	NA	NA
Sohrabi (18)	Health system research brain storming, Delphi, Questionn aire, FGD, workshop,	Authorities, nongovernmental organization	Workshop	nesults NA	NA	NA	Appropriateness, relevance, chance of success, impact of research result
Nemati (42)	Descriptive & cross- sectional Delphi, questionnaire, weighting with Likert scale	Scientists, managers	Guidelines	NA	NA	NA	NA
Bahadori (5)	Qualitative, descriptive, cross-sectional, AHP needs assessment, in- depth interviews, FGD	Man agers, scientists	NA	NA	NA	NA	Acceptability, time justification, and cost-benefit
Hatmi (47)	ENHR (small module), FGD	Scientists, managers	NA	NA	NA	NA NA	ENHR (small module)
Khambeh-bini (55) Hakimz adeh	Descriptive study  Mixed method study	Scientists, managers, experts  Experts and researchers	Guidelines, workshop NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA Maintaining and
(38)	interviews, FGD and Delphi						improving health of employees, alignment with policies and objectives, applicability
Zargham (44)	Classic weighting question naire, expert committees	Scientists	NA	NA	Journal, interviews every 1 or 2 years	NA .	To be strategic, inclusive ness, market potential, quick impact of research result, help to self-sufficiency moving along national security
Yazdankhah Fard (45)	Descriptive-analytic, cross-sectional study Delphi, questionnaire, weighting with Likert scale	Healthcare providers	NA	NA	NA	NA	Importance, changes in public health, accessibility, availability, change to nursing practice, potential for collaboration, community orientation, supporting laws, economic justification, applicability
Majidpour (34)	Qualitative study, interviews, surveys, questionnaire, workshop	Community	Guide lines, training	NA	TV & local media	NA	Prevalence, severity urgency, consensus of stake holders, sustainability, political acceptance, acceptability, related costs, to be modifiable, magnitude, appropriateness, relevancy, chance of success, impact of rese arch result
Yazdanpa nah (14)	Need assessment que stionnaire, FGD	Community, experts, scientists, organizations	NA	NA	National TV	NA	Intensity magnitude, ability and cost of solving problem, prevalence, urgency, political acceptance, acceptability,
Azi zi (8)	Expert opinion, eclectic option, morphological option, weighting method	Authorities	NA	3rd national development plan	NA	NA	To be strategic, regarding national plan, maintaining and improving community health, capability, independence, innovation, relying on domestic organizations
Abachizadeh (30)	Qualitative, questionnaire, Likert scale	Scientists	NA	The National Road Map of Sciences and Technology, The International Cancer	NA	NA	Feasibility, practicality, achievability, appropriateness
Tavana (57)	Descriptive, cross- sectional study	15 a cademic experts and staff	NA	Research Portfolio (ICRP) NA	NA	NA	NA
Ghanbari (58) Owlia 2011 (59)	Qualitative study ENHR	Nurses Researchers, man agers,	NA Guidelines &	NA NA	NA Results of completed	NA NA	NA Political acceptability,
		community, policy-makers, private sector,	workshop	1.000	research have been presented to all stakeholders		executive ability, cost- effectiven ess
	c Process; COHRED = Council on Hazlı , WHO World Health Organization.	th Research for Development; ENER – Exe	ntial National Health Researc	сп, FDG = Jicus group discussion; HS	sk = reatth service research; BTO = Isa	man Blood Transfusion Organizat	non; NA = not asailable; NGT =