Yes No (n = 184) (n = 769) No. % No. % Age (years)

209

560

281

488

446

323

286

483

271

498

726

43

384

385

17

752

370

399

7

762

*Non-compliance with any of the 4 rules: always looking both ways before crossing the street; always waiting for green signal to cross; never walking in the road; or

27.2

72.8

36.5

63.5

58.0

42.0

37.2

62.8

35.2

64.8

94.4

5.6

49.9

50.1

2.2

97.8

48.1

51.9

0.9

91.1

P-value

0.049

0.081

0.619

0.150

0.662

0.039

0.418

0.007

0.640

< 0.001

OR (95% CI)

1.48 (1.00-2.19)

0.75 (0.54-1.04)

1.08 (0.79-1.50)

0.79 (0.57-1.09)

1.08 (0.77-1.50)

1.83 (1.03-3.26)

1.14 (0.83-1.58)

2.80 (1.29-6.09)

1.08 (0.78-1.49)

33.2 (14.6-75.3)

Table 3 Sociodemographic characteristics of the students according to self-reported risky behaviour in terms of non-

Non-compliant with road safety rulesa

37 20.1 147 79.9

80

104

103

81

79

105

68

116

166

18

98

86

11

173

85

99

43

141

43.5

56.5

56.0

44.0

42.9

57.1

37.0

63.0

92.2

7.8

53.3

46.7

6.0

94.0

46.2

53.8

23.4

76.6

compliance with pedestrian road safety traffic rules (n = 953)

Sociodemographic characteristics

< 20

Sex Male

≥ 20 (ref.)

Female (ref.)

Urban (ref.)

Commerce

Senior (ref.)

With family

Place of living

Bad (ref.)

Yes (ref.)

Religious commitment

never walking in the sidewalk. (ref.) – reference category.

OR - odds ratio; CI - Confidence interval

No

Yes

No

No (ref.)

Substance use Yes (ref.)

Good

Medical/Science (ref.)

Away from family (ref.)

Student-parent relationship

Peers always disobey traffic rules

College type

Grade lunior

Residence

Rural