# Measles epidemiology and its implications for a vaccination programme in Oman 

P.K. Patel, ${ }^{1}$ S.T. Al-Awaidy, ${ }^{2}$ S. Bawikar, ${ }^{2}$ S. Al-Busaidy ${ }^{3}$ and S. Al-Mahrooqi ${ }^{2}$<br>وبائيات الحصبة وتأثير اتها على برنامـج التمنيع في سلطنة عُمان<br>بر اكاش ك. باتل، صلاح بن ثابت العويدي، شيام باو كر، سليمان البو سعيدي، سالم الخروقي<br>$$
\text { الحلاصـة: أجرى الباحثون دراسة استعادية مستندة على السجلات من الأول من كانون الثاني/يناير } 2003 \text { وحتى }
$$<br>$$
31 \text { كانون الأول/ديسمبر } 2003 \text { لوصـف وبائيـات الــصبة في عُمـان وتأثيراتهـا علـى برنـامتج التمنيـع، مـستمدين }
$$<br>المعطيات من نظام التـرصُّد الوبائي، فأدر جوا في الدراسة جميع الحالالات الـتي أبلـغ عـن الاشـتباه بإصـابتها بالِدصبة<br>$$
\text { خلال" فترة الدراسة؛ وقد بلغ عدد هذه الحالات } 185 \text { حالة، تح التئُّت من 19.4٪ منها على أنها حصبة فعالا. وقد }
$$<br>جمع الباحثون عينات دم لتحري الغلو بولين المناعي M مـن 97.3٪ مـن الحـالات. و كــان العمـر الوسطي لــالات<br>$$
\text { الخصبة } 8 \text { سنوات (بانحر اف معياري قدره } 10.7 \text { سنوات). و كان المعدل التقديري الإجمالي لوقوٍع الخصبة في فتــرة }
$$<br>$$
\text { الدراسةٍ } 0.53 \text { لكل مئة ألف شخص - سنة. ودلَّ التحليل التحوّفِ على أن الحصبة أكثر شِيوعاً لدرجــة يُعْتــٌُ بهـا }
$$<br>إحصائياً بين غير العُمانيين وبين الأطفال الذين لم يتلقوا التلقيح ضدها.

ABSTRACT To describe the epidemiology of measles in Oman and the implications for a vaccination programme, we conducted a retrospective record-based study from 1 January 2000 to 31 December 2003 using data from the national epidemiological surveillance system. All cases notified as suspected measles during the study period were included. Of the 185 notified cases, $19.4 \%$ were confirmed measles positive. Blood samples for measles lgM were collected in $97.3 \%$ of cases. The mean age of measles cases was 8.0 (SD 10.7) years. The estimated overall incidence rate of measles for the study period was 0.53 per 100000 person-years. Regression analysis indicated measles was significantly commoner among non-Omanis and children who had not been vaccinated.

Épidémiologie de la rougeole et ses implications pour un programme de vaccination à Oman RÉSUMÉ Afin de décrire l'épidémiologie de la rougeole à Oman et ses implications pour un programme de vaccination, nous avons mené une étude rétrospective à partir des registres, du $1^{\text {er }}$ janvier 2000 au 31 décembre 2003, en utilisant les données du système national de surveillance épidémiologique. Tous les cas suspects de rougeole notifiés pendant la durée de l'étude ont été pris en compte. Sur les 185 cas notifiés, $19,4 \%$ ont été confirmés positifs. Des échantillons de sang destinés à la détection d'lgM spécifiques de la rougeole ont été prélevés dans $97,3 \%$ des cas. L'âge moyen des cas de rougeole était de 8,0 ans (écart type 10,7). Le taux global d'incidence de la rougeole estimé pour la période sur laquelle portait l'étude était de 0,53 pour 100000 personnes-années. L'analyse de régression a permis d'établir que la rougeole était significativement plus courante parmi les non-Omanais et les enfants qui n'avaient pas été vaccinés.
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## Introduction

Measles is an acute highly infectious disease of childhood. It has been assumed the disease may be eliminated because of the following reasons: humans are the sole reservoir of the virus; transmission can only be between humans; the virus is very stable; a human carrier state does not exist; and there is a vaccine of proven high efficacy [1]. Initially it was thought that measles elimination could be achieved quickly with a routine one-dose programme but experience has shown that at the very least high coverage of 2 doses is required to achieve elimination [2].

In spite of the availability of and effective vaccine, measles remains a public health problem worldwide especially in developing countries with $30-40$ million cases occurring annually. In 2002, there were an estimated 610000 deaths due to measles worldwide, 540000 of them in children under the age of 5 years, representing $30 \%-40 \%$ of the burden of vaccine-preventable diseases in childhood. Target dates of 2000,2007 and 2010 for the elimination of measles were established for the region of the Americas, the European Region and the Eastern Mediterranean Region (EMR) respectively [3]. Several strategies are now being adopted to increase coverage of immunization including a 2 -dose schedule, mopping up, supplementary immunization with vitamin A, national and regional mass immunizations, and development of highquality case-based measles surveillance supported by regional measles laboratory [4]. Oman is one of the EMR countries to adopt these elimination strategies.

Elimination does not equate to zero cases because when elimination has been achieved, imported cases may still occur, with limited spread to susceptible persons. Eventually there will be enough suscepti-
bles from the small proportion of vaccine failures to allow an epidemic [5]. Thus there is a continued need for surveillance even when no cases are reported.

Occurrence of measles cases has decreased drastically in Oman from 40679 cases in 1981 to a mere 15 cases in 2001, which is largely due to sustained high coverage of routine immunization, catch-up campaigns and intense case-based surveillance. Measles vaccination was formally launched in Oman in 1981 under the Expanded Programme on Immunization (EPI) programme with a single dose of the measles vaccine given at 9 months. In March 1994, a second dose of measles was introduced at 15 months as measles/rubella (MR) vaccine, and a catch-up campaign with this vaccine was done in March 1994 for all individuals aged 15 months to 18 years. The MR vaccination was replaced by measles/mumps/rubella (MMR) vaccine during 1997 and in October 2001 measles vaccination and MMR vaccination were rescheduled at 12 and 18 months respectively [6]. Figure 1 shows the number of measles cases reported between 1993 and 2003 with the 2-dose vaccination coverage and catchup campaigns in Oman [7].

Oman has 2340815 inhabitants with a $23.9 \%$ expatriate population. Approximately $10.3 \%$ of the total population is below 5 years of age ( 2003 census) [8]. In Oman, measles has been a notifiable disease (individual case-based notification) since March 1991, when communicable disease surveillance was established. All the cases that satisfy the case definition of measles are recorded in a disease notification form along with the mandatory information form. Blood samples are also collected and sent for measles $\operatorname{IgM}$ testing routinely.

To assess progress in measles control, it is recommended that immunization programmes be evaluated by means of specific


Figure 1 Measles cases and vaccination coverage of MMR/MR in Oman from 1993 to 2003
epidemiological surveillance involving investigation of all reported cases. Furthermore, the epidemiological characteristics of a disease may vary according to geographical area, age group and transmission setting, thereby calling for the implementation of different purpose-designed preventive strategies [2]. Hence, this study aimed to describe the series of measles cases notified in Oman over a 4-year period through the epidemiological surveillance system.

## Methods

For the purpose of this study, the database of case-based notification and mandatory information forms for measles was used; this is available within the national epidemiological surveillance system. This retrospective review of records was carried out from 1 January 2000 to 31 December 2003 (4 years); data became available in electronic format in 2000. All the cases notified by the attending physicians during this period were included in the study. Information was collected on: patient's identification
data (name, sex, age, address, region and nationality), presenting symptoms, laboratory data, record of vaccination, source of infection and link with any outbreak. Physicians (paediatricians, general practitioners and general medicine doctors) had been trained in collecting this information during regional seminars conducted periodically.

The Ministry of Health (MOH) in Oman has written guidelines (communicable disease surveillance and control manual) for the surveillance of communicable diseases including measles and there is mandatory case notification from all health institutions, including primary care, and wide health care access and utilization (97\%) [9]. It is unlikely therefore that measles cases remain undetected by the surveillance system for an extended period. In addition, measles surveillance in Oman is sensitive enough to consistently detect imported cases, isolated cases, and small outbreaks. However, cases that are mild and do not approach a health facility may go unnoticed.

The regional epidemiologist/communicable disease focal point in the regional
headquarters oversees the activities of the surveillance and validates the data. A formal epidemiological investigation is carried out by the focal point upon receipt of notification and all the positive cases are actively followed up to search for the source of infection and other measles cases among the contacts and the community. Epidemiological links to any case and to an imported case are also evaluated. A weekly negative report of measles is also made in sentinel sites, including the private clinics, as a part of the elimination strategy.

The clinical case definition used was: disease displaying the following characteristics: (a) maculo-papular rash, (b) fever $\geq 38.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and (c) cough, coryza or conjunctivitis. Cases are classified: as "probable" if they fulfil the clinical definition, or as "confirmed", if, in addition, there is an epidemiological link to another case of measles or laboratory evidence (demonstration of specific serum IgM antibodies). A measles outbreak is defined as presentation of 2 or more epidemiologically linked cases within a maximum period of 14 days (incubation period). Imported cases are those in persons infected outside Oman and the illness cannot be linked to local transmission.

The $0.5-1 \mathrm{~mL}$ serum samples collected for each suspected case all over Oman are transported to Central Public Health Laboratory (CPHL), Darsiet, Muscat at $4-8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ within $24-48$ hours. The CPHL is also a reference laboratory for measles surveillance in the Eastern Mediterranean Region of the World Health Organization (WHO) Demonstration of specific IgM antibodies is done using the Behring Enzygnost enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (Marburg, Germany). Laboratory validation is routinely done by sending samples to the WHO reference laboratory in Cairo, Egypt.

The data extracted in our study were analysed using SPSS, version 9. Univariate
analysis was done to calculate frequencies and proportions. Age-specific incidence rates were calculated per 100000 population, using the 2003 population-census as denominator. Association between the different age groups and risk of measles among cases was studied with reference to the relative risk ( RR ) (incidence rate ratio) and $95 \%$ confidence interval (CI). To determine the independent contribution of each variable among the notified cases, adjusted odds ratios (OR) and $95 \%$ CI were calculated by means of a logistic regression model.

## Results

Over the 4 -year study period, 190 cases of measles were notified from all the regions in Oman. Of these, 185 cases met the clinical case definition (97.4\%). Of these 185 cases, $19.5 \%$ were found to be positive for measles, $11.9 \%(22 / 185)$ were laboratory confirmed by testing IgM positive and $7.6 \%(14 / 185)$ were epidemiologically confirmed on being associated with another case of measles that was later laboratory confirmed. There were 145 (78.4\%) labora-tory-confirmed negative cases. Although the remaining 4 cases fulfilled the clinical definition, no additional laboratory evidence was forthcoming, and they were duly classified as "probable" cases (Table 1).

Overall, blood samples for measles IgM were collected in $97.3 \%$ (180/185) of cases. All the 185 cases had presented with fever and rash as a symptom. Cough, coryza and conjunctivitis were associated with $65 \%$, $68 \%$ and $32 \%$ of notified cases respectively. The positive predictive value for measles of cough, coryza and conjunctivitis was $25.9 \%$, $28.3 \%$ and $40.0 \%$ respectively. Information on the gender of the cases was obtained for 60 notified cases. Of these $60,35.8 \%$ were male and $49.2 \%$ female. There was no

| Table 1 Distribution of notified cases <br> according to case classification |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Type of case | No. | $\%$ |
| Probable (clinically diagnosed) | 4 | 2.2 |
| Confirmed positive | 36 | 19.4 |
| $\quad$ Epidemiologically confirmed | 14 | 7.5 |
| $\quad$ Laboratory confirmed | 22 | 11.9 |
| Confirmed negative | 145 | 78.4 |
| Total | 185 | 100.0 |

significant difference in the measles cases between males ( 6 positive) and females ( 5 positive) ( $\chi^{2}=0.64, P=0.7$ ).

For the purpose of analysis, confirmed and probable positive cases were considered as measles cases ( 40 cases, $21.6 \%$ ). The mean age and standard deviation (SD)
was 8.0 (SD 10.7) years as shown in Figure 2. The largest proportion ( $37.5 \%$ ) of the measles cases was in the $<1$ year age group followed by 1-4 years age group ( $20.0 \%$ ); altogether the under 5 years age group represented $57.5 \%$ of the cases. The estimated overall incidence rate of measles for the study period was 0.53 per 100000 person years. The incidence was higher in those aged $<1$ year $(\mathrm{RR}=14.8 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 9.7-24.4); $1-5$ years $(\mathrm{RR}=2.0 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $1.0-3.7$ ); and $5-9$ years ( $\mathrm{RR}=1.3 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $0.6-2.6$ ) as shown in Table 2.

The probable source of infection was established in $35.0 \%$ (14/40) of cases. Eleven of the 14 cases were linked to an epidemiological outbreak and later laboratoryconfirmed and the other 3 cases were classified as imported. During the outbreak the


Figure 2 Age distribution of the 40 measles cases, 2000-2003 [Mean 8 (SD 10.7) years]

Table 2 Age-specific incidence rates of measles cases
for the study period

| Age group <br> (years) | Cases | Rate per <br> 100 000 <br> person <br> years | Relative <br> risk | 95\% CI |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $15(37.5)$ | 7.78 | 14.8 | $9.7-24.4$ |
| $1-4$ | $8(20.0)$ | 1.03 | 2.0 | $1.0-3.7$ |
| $5-9$ | $7(17.5)$ | 0.67 | 1.3 | $0.6-2.6$ |
| $10-40$ | $10(25.0)$ | 0.18 | 0.3 | $0.1-1.3$ |
| Total | $40(100)$ | 0.53 | Reference | - |
| CI = confidence interval. |  |  |  |  |

place of transmission was the school and the secondary transmission occurred at home. Of the remaining cases where the source of infection was not established, 4 were probably vaccine-related and 22 were sporadic cases. There were 2 outbreaks during the study period, one each in North Sharqiya and Dhahira regions of Oman. In North Sharqiya, 10 cases were reported from 8 April 2000 to 23 June 2000. Epidemiological investigation suggested that the reason for the outbreak was inconclusive with no established chains of transmission. In Dhahira there were 12 cases reported from 10 September 2001 to 9 January 2002. The outbreak was linked to an imported case and the source was evident in 11 cases, with 3 chains of transmission, mostly involving expatriate children.

Table 3 illustrates the measles cases with respect to vaccination status. Half of the cases had either not received the measles vaccine or did not know their vaccination status. The majority ( $75 \%$ ) of these cases were expatriates and vaccination records were not available for them. The remaining $25 \%$ had received some vaccine ( 7 cases, a single dose; 3 cases, 2 doses). It is noteworthy that $25 \%$ ( 10 cases) of the measles cases were younger than the eligible age for vaccination under the current EPI schedule for
measles vaccination; 70\% of these 10 were Omani and $30 \%$ were expatriate children.

The measles risk among the notified cases was compared with various factors such as age, nationality and vaccination status at the time of notification as shown in Table 4. Among the notified cases from all the regions in Oman, measles cases were higher among children $<5$ years and non-Omanis. Similarly, cases who were not due for measles vaccines and who were not vaccinated also had a higher risk of measles. However, regression analysis indicted that measles was significantly commoner only among notified individuals who were nonOmani (OR $=2.8 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.0-8.0$ ), who had not received measles vaccination (OR $=23.6 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 4.4-125.0)$ and who had

| Table 3 Distribution of measles cases by <br> vaccination status |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Vaccination status | No. | $\%$ |
| Not due for measles vaccine | 10 | 25.0 |
| Received 1 dose | 7 | 17.5 |
| Received 2 doses | 3 | 7.5 |
| Not vaccinated/unknown | 20 | 50.0 |
| Total | 40 | 100.0 |


| Variable |  | Mea | les |  | Adjusted | 95\% Cl | P-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No |  | s | OR |  |  |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% |  |  |  |
| Age group (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 5 | 95 | 80.5 | 23 | 19.5 | 1.3 | 0.2-7.0 | 0.7 |
| 5-10 | 24 | 77.4 | 7 | 22.6 | 0.6 | 0.1-3.6 | 0.6 |
| $\geq 10$ | 26 | 72.2 | 10 | 27.8 | reference |  |  |
| Nationality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Omani | 18 | 50.0 | 18 | 50.0 | 2.8 | 1.0-8.0 | 0.04 |
| Omani | 127 | 85.2 | 22 | 14.8 | reference |  |  |
| Vaccination |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not due | 41 | 80.4 | 10 | 19.6 | 3.9 | 0.9-17.1 | 0.06 |
| 1 dose | 18 | 72.0 | 7 | 28.0 | 7.3 | 1.5-34.6 | <0.05 |
| Not vaccinated/ unknown | 17 | 45.9 | 20 | 54.1 | 23.6 | 4.4-125.0 | 0.01 |
| 2 doses | 69 | 95.8 | 3 | 4.2 | reference |  |  |

OR = odds ratio; $\mathrm{Cl}=$ confidence interval.
received 1 dose of the measles vaccine (OR $=7.3 ; 95 \%$ CI: 1.5-34.6).

North Sharqiya and Dhahira regions had a higher risk of measles compared to other regions possibly because of the outbreaks that occurred during the study period in these 2 regions. However, there was no statistically significant difference in the occurrence of measles cases between the various regions in Oman $(P>0.05)$.

## Discussion

The routine epidemiological measles surveillance system implemented in Oman provides the major characteristics of measles cases registered in all the regions. The proportion of measles IgM-positive cases among the blood samples collected was $20.0 \%(36 / 180)$, which is low compared to a study conducted in Catalonia, Spain (70.1\%) [2]. This is possibly due to a decline in incidence of measles over the years in Oman which tends to produce a reciprocal
effect of an increase in the number of false notifications (over-diagnosis) [10]. Also in the Catalonia study, cases were confirmed using blood and nasopharyngeal secretions which could account for the higher positivity. The proportion of confirmed (IgMpositive and linked to an outbreak) cases in the present study was $19.4 \%(36 / 185)$, which again is low compared to the study conducted in Catalonia, Spain (30\%) [2], but higher compared to southern African countries [11].

In situations marked by low number of cases, such as in our study, serum-based diagnostic confirmation is the choice, as was the case in Oman [12]. On the other hand, if large numbers of cases are reported, rapid, non-aggressive salivary determination of type-specific IgM antibody can enhance the reporting reliability and accelerate control measures [13]. The proportion of associated symptoms like cough, coryza and conjunctivitis was low in our study compared to the Spanish study [2]. The positive predictive
value for conjunctivitis was $40 \%$, suggesting conjunctivitis is an important clinical feature in measles diagnosis in Oman.

The proportion of cases under the age of 5 years was higher ( $57.5 \%$ ) in our study compared to the $24 \%$ and $38 \%$ in other studies [2,14]. The greatest proportion of the cases (37.5\%) was in the age group < 1 year. The age-specific incidence rates indicted that the age groups $<1$ year and $1-4$ years were at the highest risk of presenting with measles; among $87 \%$ (20/23) of these cases no transmission pathway could be established. Correspondingly, outbreakrelated cases did not exceed $50 \%$ and in the majority of cases there was insufficient evidence for reconstruction of the transmission pathway. This might be due to the existence of measles virus circulation via mild cases among vaccinated individuals [ 15,16$]$. However, estimation of susceptibles according to the mathematical model of WHO in Oman gives a figure below the critical $<5 \%$ for the birth cohort since 1994 [17]. Hence, the reason for outbreaks due to unlinked imported cases and sporadic cases was not apparent.

The majority $(71.4 \%)$ of the measles cases with a chain of transmission were in the $>4$ years age group (10/14) and $90 \%$ of them were unvaccinated expatriate children. Three cases were imported cases. Likewise, a significant number of measles cases occurred among unvaccinated non-Omanis compared to Omanis who had received some vaccination. Hence, vaccination requirement at school entry $[2,15]$ and mass campaigns, especially among expatriate children, may help to prevent measles outbreaks in Oman due to imported cases. Mandatory measles vaccination requirements for expatriate children entering the country could also be considered.

With respect to place of infection, an appreciable proportion of cases, $47.5 \%$
(19/40), were associated with school and the home. Similarly, as described in other studies, home and schools must be viewed as settings that pose a risk of transmission [ 18,19$]$. The mingling of cohorts of infants and young children with a high proportion of susceptibles (due for vaccination and unvaccinated) constitutes an ideal situation for the occurrence of outbreaks in such places [20,21]. Despite control measures, secondary cases occurred during the outbreak thus signifying continued transmission [22].

In our study, $25 \%$ of the cases were yet to be vaccinated. However, a change in the current routine immunization ( 2 doses of MMR at 12 and 18 months) is not indicated in Oman during its elimination phase, because it is suggested that if MMR coverage at 12 months of age can reach $>90 \%$, it would be worth changing the policy to 2 doses at 12 months and 6 years of age to induce higher antibody titres and prevent primary vaccine failure [23-25].

During the elimination phase, multiple imported sources of measles virus will result in an increase in susceptibility to measles among those $<12$ months. Hence, routine vaccination of children $>6$ months during outbreaks and who are travelling to endemic countries could be considered [26,27]. Further genotypic studies of the measles virus in Oman could provide clues as to whether measles is endemic in Oman or not; if a diversity of measles virus genotypes is observed this will reflect multiple imported sources of the virus and indicate that no genotype of measles is endemic. However, lack of endemic transmission is evident by the limited secondary transmission from imported cases [28].

The 3 measles cases in our study who had received 2 doses of vaccine represent the small proportion of primary vaccine failures that fail to seroconvert despite revaccination with a second dose $[29,30]$.

Similarly, in Britain, over a tenth of cases occurred in children who had been vaccinated [31] because vaccine failure is known to sustain transmission in populations with high vaccine coverage [29].

Complementary measures, such as administration of a further dose of vaccine over a short period of time to the whole population of a given age group, regardless of prior vaccination status, by catch-up campaigns can also be considered [32]. However, it is a costly strategy and one that is difficult to sustain over an extended period of time. All such measures will have to be assessed within a regional context of measles eradication in the short term [33]. In the Americas, sustained interruption of indigenous measles virus transmission has been achieved through a 3-tiered vaccination strategy that includes a) "catch-up" vaccination of all persons aged $1-14$ years, regardless of disease history or vaccination status; b) "keep-up" vaccination of greater than or equal to $90 \%$ of children in each successive birth cohort at age 12 months; and c) "follow-up" campaigns designed to vaccinate all persons within a specific age range whenever the number of susceptible
persons in the preschool-aged population approximates the size of a typical birth cohort (every 3-5 years) [34].

## Conclusion

The incidence of measles is low in Oman. Children under 5 years, especially unvaccinated expatriate children, could present as imported cases of measles leading to limited spread to the susceptible population in Oman. Continued effort to bring down the susceptibles by high vaccination coverage, sensitive investigation of all reported positive cases, identification of clusters linking them geographically or by time, and supplementary vaccination measures will assist in the further reduction of measles incidence in Oman.
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